

Automorphisms of Locally Conformally Kähler Manifolds

Liviu Ornea^{1,2} and Misha Verbitsky³

¹University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics, 14 Academiei str.,
70109 Bucharest, Romania, ²Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow"
of the Romanian Academy, 21, Calea Grivitei Street 010702-Bucharest,
Romania, and ³Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
B. Cheremushkinskaya, 25, Moscow, 117259, Russia

Correspondence to be sent to: lornea@gta.math.unibuc.ro

A manifold M is locally conformally Kähler (LCK), if it admits a Kähler covering \tilde{M} with monodromy acting by holomorphic homotheties. For a compact connected group G acting on an LCK manifold by holomorphic automorphisms, an averaging procedure gives a G -invariant LCK metric. Suppose that S^1 acts on an LCK manifold M by holomorphic isometries, and the lifting of this action to the Kähler cover \tilde{M} is not isometric. We show that \tilde{M} admits an automorphic Kähler potential, and hence (for $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M > 2$) the manifold M can be embedded to a Hopf manifold.

1 Introduction

1.1 Locally conformally Kähler manifolds

Locally conformally Kähler (LCK) manifolds are, by definition, complex manifolds of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} > 1$ admitting a Kähler covering with deck transformations acting by Kähler homotheties. We shall usually denote with $\tilde{\omega}$ the Kähler form on the covering.

An equivalent definition, at the level of the manifold itself, postulates the existence of an open covering $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ with local Kähler metrics g_{α} . It requires that on

Received December 25, 2010; Revised December 25, 2010; Accepted March 14, 2011
Communicated by Prof. Dmitry Kaledin

overlaps $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, these local Kähler metrics are homothetic: $g_\alpha = c_{\alpha\beta} g_\beta$. The metrics $e^{f_\alpha} g_\alpha$ glue to a global metric whose associated two-form ω satisfies the integrability condition $d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega$, thus being locally conformal with the Kähler metrics g_α . Here, $\theta|_{U_\alpha} = df_\alpha$. The closed 1-form θ , which represents the cocycle $c_{\alpha\beta}$, is called *the Lee form*. Obviously, any other representative of this cocycle, $\theta' = \theta + dh$, produces another LCK metric, conformal with the initial one. This gives another definition of an LCK structure, which will be used in this paper.

Definition 1.1. Let (M, ω) be a complex Hermitian manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M > 1$, with $d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega$, where θ is a closed 1-form. Then M is called an LCK manifold □

We refer to [2] for an overview and to [11] for more recent results.

1.2 Bott–Chern cohomology and automorphic potential

Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ be a Kähler covering of an LCK manifold M , and let Γ be the deck transform group of $[\tilde{M} : M]$. Denote by $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ the corresponding character of Γ , defined through the scale factor of $\tilde{\omega}$:

$$\gamma^* \tilde{\omega} = \chi(\gamma) \tilde{\omega}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Definition 1.2. A differential form α on \tilde{M} is called *automorphic* if $\gamma^* \alpha = \chi(\gamma) \alpha$, where $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is the character of Γ defined above. □

A useful tool in the study of LCK geometry is the weight bundle $L \rightarrow M$. It is a topologically trivial line bundle, associated to the representation $GL(2n, \mathbb{R}) \ni A \mapsto |\det A|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, with flat connection defined as $D := \nabla_0 + \theta$, where ∇_0 is the trivial connection. It allows regarding automorphic objects on \tilde{M} as objects on M with values in L .

Definition 1.3. Let M be an LCK manifold, $\Lambda_{\chi, d}^{1,1}(\tilde{M})$ the space of closed, automorphic $(1, 1)$ -forms on its Kähler covering \tilde{M} , and let $C_\chi^\infty(\tilde{M})$ be the space of automorphic functions on \tilde{M} . Consider the quotient

$$H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, L) := \frac{\Lambda_{\chi, d}^{1,1}(\tilde{M})}{d\mathcal{C}_\chi^\infty(\tilde{M})},$$

where $d^c = -IdI$. This group is finite-dimensional. It is called *the Bott–Chern cohomology group of an LCK manifold* (for more details, see [10]). It is independent from the choice of the covering \tilde{M} . \square

Remark 1.4. The Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}$ on \tilde{M} is obviously closed and automorphic. Its cohomology class $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, L)$ is called *the Bott–Chern class of M* . It is an important cohomology invariant of an LCK manifold, which can be considered as an LCK analog of the Kähler class. \square

Definition 1.5. Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ be a Kähler covering of an LCK manifold M . We say that M is an *LCK manifold with an automorphic potential* if $\tilde{\omega} = dd^c\phi$, for some automorphic function ϕ on \tilde{M} . Equivalently, M is an LCK manifold with an automorphic potential, if its Bott–Chern class vanishes. \square

Compact LCK manifolds with automorphic potential are embeddable in Hopf manifolds, see [10]. The existence of an automorphic potential leads to important topological restrictions on the fundamental group, see [6, 11].

The class of compact complex manifolds admitting an LCK metric with automorphic potential is stable under small complex deformation [9]. This statement should be considered as an LCK analog of Kodaira’s celebrated Kähler stability theorem. The only way (known to us) to construct LCK metrics on some non-Vaisman manifolds, such as the Hopf manifolds not admitting a Vaisman structure, is by deformation, applying the stability of automorphic potential under small deformations.

1.3 Automorphisms of LCK and Vaisman manifolds

Definition 1.6. A *Vaisman manifold* is an LCK manifold (M, ω, θ) with $\nabla\theta = 0$, where θ is its Lee form, and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection. \square

As shown, for example, in [13], a Vaisman manifold has an automorphic potential, which can be written down explicitly as $\tilde{\omega}(\pi^*\theta, \pi^*\theta)$, where $\pi^*\theta$ is the lift of the Lee form to the considered Kähler covering of M .

Compact Vaisman manifolds can be characterized in terms of their automorphisms group.

Theorem 1.7 ([5]). Let (M, ω) be a compact LCK manifold admitting a holomorphic, conformal action of \mathbb{C} which lifts to an action by nontrivial homotheties on its Kähler covering. Then (M, ω) is conformally equivalent to a Vaisman manifold. \square

Other properties of the various transformations groups of LCK manifolds were studied in [4, 7].

It was proved in [11] that any compact LCK manifold with automorphic potential can be obtained as a deformation of a Vaisman manifold. Many of the known examples of LCK manifolds are Vaisman (see [1] for a complete list of Vaisman compact complex surfaces), but there are also non-Vaisman ones: one of the Inoue surfaces (see [1, 12]), its higher-dimensional generalization in [8], and the new examples found in [3] on parabolic and hyperbolic Inoue surfaces. Also, a blow-up of a Vaisman manifold is still LCK (see [12, 14]), but not Vaisman, and has no automorphic potential.

In this paper, we show that LCK manifolds with automorphic potential can be characterized in terms of existence of a particular subgroup of automorphisms. In Section 2, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. Let M be a compact complex manifold, equipped with a holomorphic S^1 -action and an LCK metric (not necessarily compatible). Suppose that the weight bundle L , restricted to a general orbit of this S^1 -action, is nontrivial as a one-dimensional local system. Then M admits an LCK metric with an automorphic potential. \square

Remark 1.9. The converse statement seems to be true as well. We conjecture that given a LCK manifold M with a automorphic potential, M always admits a holomorphic S^1 -action of this kind. To motivate this conjecture, consider a Hopf manifold M (Hopf manifolds are known to admit an LCK metric with an automorphic potential, see, e.g. [9]). Suppose that M is a quotient of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0$ by a group \mathbb{Z} acting by linear contractions, $M = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0 / \langle A \rangle$, with A a linear operator with all eigenvalues α_i satisfying $|\alpha_i| < 1$ (such Hopf manifolds are called *linear*). Then the holomorphic diffeomorphism flow associated with the vector field $\log A$ leads to a holomorphic S^1 -action on M . (Meanwhile, the statement of Remark 1.9 was proven by the authors and makes the object of the preprint arxiv:1004.4645.) \square

Remark 1.10. Theorem 1.7 implies that an LCK manifold M with a certain conformal action of \mathbb{C} is conformally equivalent to a Vaisman manifold. By contrast, Theorem 1.8 does not postulate that the given S^1 -action is compatible with the metric. Neither

does Theorem 1.8 say anything about the given LCK metric on M . Instead, Theorem 1.8 says that some other LCK structure on the same complex manifold has an automorphic potential. This new metric is obtained (see Section 2.3) by a kind of convolution, by averaging the old one with some weight function, which depends on the cohomological nature of the S^1 -action. In particular, the original LCK metric may have no potential. In [10, Conjecture 6.3] it was conjectured that all LCK metrics on a Vaisman manifold have potential; this conjecture is still unsolved. \square

As shown in [10, 11], Theorem 1.8 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1.11. Let M be a compact LCK manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 3$. Suppose that the weight bundle L restricted to a general orbit of this S^1 -action is nontrivial as a one-dimensional local system. Then \tilde{M} is diffeomorphic to a Vaisman manifold, and admits a holomorphic embedding to a Hopf manifold. \square

2 The Proof of the Main Theorem

2.1 Averaging on a compact transformation group

For the sake of completeness, we recall the following procedure described in the proof of [10, Theorem 6.1]. Let G be a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(M)$. Averaging the Lee form θ on G , we obtain a closed 1-form θ' which is G -invariant and stays in the same cohomology class as θ : $\theta' = \theta + df$. Then $\omega' = e^{-f}\omega$ is a LCK form with Lee form θ' and conformal to ω . Hence, we may assume from the beginning that θ (corresponding to ω) is G -invariant.

Now, for any $a \in G$, $a^*\omega$ satisfies

$$d(a^*\omega) = a^*\omega \wedge a^*\theta = a^*\omega \wedge \theta. \quad (2.1)$$

Averaging ω over G and applying (2.1), we find a G -invariant Hermitian form ω' which satisfies

$$d\omega' = \omega' \wedge \theta.$$

Therefore, we may also assume that ω is G -invariant.

In conclusion, by averaging on S^1 , we obtain a new LCK metric, conformal with the initial one, w.r.t. which S^1 acts by (holomorphic) isometries and whose Lee form is S^1 -invariant. Hence, we may suppose from the beginning that S^1 acts by holomorphic isometries of the given LCK metric.

This implies that the lifted action of \mathbb{R} acts by homotheties of the global Kähler metric with Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}$. Indeed, $a^*\tilde{\omega} = f\tilde{\omega}$, but $d(a^*\tilde{\omega}) = 0 = df \wedge \tilde{\omega}$, and multiplication by $\tilde{\omega}$ is injective on $\Lambda^1(M)$, as $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M > 1$, hence $df \wedge \tilde{\omega} = 0$ implies $df = 0$.

The monodromy of the weight bundle along an orbit S of the S^1 -action can be computed as $\int_S \theta$, hence this monodromy is not changed by the averaging procedure. Therefore, it suffices to prove Theorem 1.8 assuming that ω is S^1 -invariant.

In this case, the lift of the S^1 -action on \tilde{M} acts on the Kähler form \tilde{M} by homotheties, and the corresponding conformal constant is equal to the monodromy of L along the orbits of S^1 . Therefore, we may assume that S^1 is lifted to an \mathbb{R} action on \tilde{M} by nontrivial homotheties.

2.2 The main formula

Let now A be the vector field on \tilde{M} generated by the \mathbb{R} -action. Then A is holomorphic and homothetic, that is,

$$\text{Lie}_A \tilde{\omega} = \lambda \tilde{\omega}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}.$$

Denote

$$A^c = IA, \quad \eta = A \lrcorner \tilde{\omega}, \quad \eta^c = I\eta.$$

Note that, by definition, $(I\alpha)(X_1, \dots, X_k) = (-1)^k \alpha(IX_1, \dots, IX_k)$.

We now prove the following formula, which is the key to the rest of our argument.

Proposition 2.1. Let A be a vector field acting on a Kähler manifold \tilde{M} by holomorphic homotheties: $\text{Lie}_A \tilde{\omega} = \lambda \tilde{\omega}$. Then

$$dd^c|A|^2 = \lambda^2 \tilde{\omega} + \text{Lie}_{A^c}^2 \tilde{\omega}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $A^c = I(A)$. □

Proof. Replacing A by $\lambda^{-1}A$, we may assume that $\lambda = 1$. By Cartan’s formula,

$$\text{Lie}_A \tilde{\omega} = d(A \lrcorner \tilde{\omega}) = d\eta,$$

and hence, as $\eta(A) = 0$,

$$\text{Lie}_A \eta = d(A \lrcorner \eta) + A \lrcorner d\eta = A \lrcorner (\tilde{\omega}) = \eta.$$

As A is holomorphic, this implies $\text{Lie}_A \eta^c = \eta^c$. But, again with Cartan's formula:

$$\text{Lie}_A \eta^c = d(A \lrcorner \eta^c) + A \lrcorner d\eta^c = -d|A|^2 + A \lrcorner d\eta^c.$$

Hence

$$d^c d|A|^2 = -d^c \eta^c + d^c(A \lrcorner d\eta^c),$$

We note that

$$d^c \eta^c = -Id\eta = -I\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega},$$

as $\tilde{\omega}$ is $(1, 1)$. Then, to compute $d^c(A \lrcorner d\eta^c)$, observe first that

$$\text{Lie}_{A^c} \tilde{\omega} = d(IA \lrcorner \tilde{\omega}) = d\tilde{\omega}(IA, \cdot) = d\eta^c.$$

Thus, as $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\text{Lie}_{A^c} \tilde{\omega}$ are $(1, 1)$, and by Cartan's formula again:

$$\begin{aligned} d^c(A \lrcorner d\eta^c) &= -IdI(A \lrcorner \text{Lie}_{A^c} \tilde{\omega}) = Id(A^c \lrcorner \text{Lie}_{A^c} \tilde{\omega}) \\ &= I\text{Lie}_{A^c}^2 \tilde{\omega} = -\text{Lie}_{A^c}^2 \tilde{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.2). ■

2.3 The second averaging argument

Clearly, the action of the Lie derivative on $\Omega^*(M)$ can be extended to the Bott–Chern cohomology groups by $\text{Lie}_X[\alpha] = [\text{Lie}_X \alpha]$. Then (2.2) tells us that

$$\text{Lie}_{A^c}^2[\tilde{\omega}] = -\lambda^2[\tilde{\omega}],$$

where $[\tilde{\omega}]$ is the class of $\tilde{\omega}$ in the Bott–Chern cohomology group $H_{\text{BC}}^2(M, L) = H_{\text{BC}}^2(\tilde{M})$. This implies that

$$V := \text{span}\{[\tilde{\omega}], \text{Lie}_{A^c}[\tilde{\omega}]\} \subset H_{\text{BC}}^2(M, L)$$

is two dimensional. Then, obviously, Lie_{A^c} acts on V with two one-dimensional eigenspaces, corresponding to $\sqrt{-1}\lambda$ and $-\sqrt{-1}\lambda$. As Lie_{A^c} acts on V essentially as a

rotation with $\lambda\pi/2$, the flow of A^c, e^{tA^c} , will satisfy:

$$e^{tA^c}[\tilde{\omega}] = [\tilde{\omega}] \quad \text{for } t = 2n\pi\lambda^{-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We also note that

$$\int_0^{2\pi\lambda^{-1}} e^{tA^c}[\tilde{\omega}] dt = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Let now

$$\tilde{\omega}_W := \int_0^{2\pi\lambda^{-1}} e^{tA^c} \tilde{\omega} dt.$$

This new form is obtained as a sum of Kähler forms with the same automorphy, hence it is also an automorphic Kähler form. Its Bott–Chern class is equal to $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{tA^c}[\tilde{\omega}] dt$, and thus it vanishes by (2.3).

In conclusion, $\tilde{\omega}_W$ is a Kähler form with trivial Bott–Chern class, and hence it admits a global automorphic potential. We proved Theorem 1.8.

Remark 2.2. Another way to arrive at a Kähler form with potential is by averaging using a kind of convolution. Let

$$\psi = \begin{cases} \cos t + 1 & \text{for } t \in [-\pi, \pi], \\ 0 & \text{for } t \notin [-\pi, \pi]. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\tilde{\omega}_\psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{t\lambda^{-1}A^c} \tilde{\omega} \psi(t) dt.$$

One can see that $\text{Lie}_{\lambda^{-1}A^c} \tilde{\omega}_\psi = \tilde{\omega}_{\psi'}$ and $\text{Lie}_{\lambda^{-1}A^c}^2 \tilde{\omega}_\psi = \tilde{\omega}_{\psi''}$. Then, (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c |A|_\psi^2 &= \lambda^2 \tilde{\omega}_\psi + \text{Lie}_{A^c}^2 \tilde{\omega}_\psi \\ &= \lambda^2 (\tilde{\omega}_\psi + \tilde{\omega}_{\psi''}) = \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{t\lambda^{-1}A^c} \tilde{\omega} (\psi + \psi'')(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

where $|A|_\psi^2$ means square length of A taken with respect to the metric ω_ψ . As $\psi'' + \psi = 1$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$, we see that $dd^c |A|_\psi^2 > 0$ and hence $|A|_\psi^2$ is a Kähler potential for $\tilde{\omega}_\psi$. On the

other hand, one can verify that

$$dd^c(|A|_\psi^2) = \text{Lie}_{A^c}^2 \tilde{\omega}_\psi + \lambda^2 \tilde{\omega}_{\psi''} = \tilde{\omega}_W,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_W = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{tA^c} \tilde{\omega} dt$.

Therefore, this averaging construction with “weight” ψ gives the same form $\tilde{\omega}_W = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{tA^c} \tilde{\omega} dt$ which we have obtained by the means of averaging with the circle. \square

Funding

This work was partially supported by a PN2-IDEI grant, no. 529 (to L.O.) and by the grant RFBR for support of scientific schools NSh-3036.2008.2 and RFBR grant 09-01-00242-a (to M.V.).

References

- [1] Belgun, F. A. “On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces.” *Mathematische Annalen* 317, no. 1 (2000): 1–40.
- [2] Dragomir, S. and L. Ornea. *Locally Conformal Kähler Geometry*, Progress in Mathematics 155. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [3] Fujiki, A. and M. Pontecorvo. “Anti-self-dual bihermitian structures on Inoue surfaces.” *Journal of Differential Geometry* 85, no. 1 (2010): 15–71.
- [4] Gini, R., L. Ornea, and M. Parton. “Locally conformal Kähler reduction.” *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik (Crelle Journal)* 581 (2005): 1–21.
- [5] Kamishima, Y. and L. Ornea. “Geometric flow on compact locally conformally Kähler manifolds.” *The Tohoku Mathematical Journal* 57, no. 2 (2005): 201–22.
- [6] Kokarev, G. and D. Kotschick. “Fibrations and fundamental groups of Kähler-Weyl manifolds.” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 138, no. 3 (2010): 997–1010.
- [7] Moroianu, A. and L. Ornea. “Transformations of locally conformally Kähler manifolds.” *Manuscripta Mathematica* 130, no. 1 (2009): 93–100.
- [8] Oeljeklaus, K. and M. Toma. “Non-Kähler compact complex manifolds associated to number fields.” *Annales de l’Institut Fourier* 55, no. 1 (2005): 1291–1300.
- [9] Ornea, L. and M. Verbitsky. “Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with potential.” *Mathematische Annalen* 348, no. 1 (2010): 25–33.
- [10] Ornea, L. and M. Verbitsky. “Morse-Novikov cohomology of locally conformally Kähler manifolds.” *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 59, no. 3 (2009): 295–305.
- [11] Ornea, L. and M. Verbitsky. “Topology of locally conformally Kähler manifolds with potential.” *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2010, no. 4 (2010): 717–726.
- [12] Tricerri, F. “Some examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds.” *Universit’a e Politecnico di Torino. Seminario Matematico. Rendiconti* 40, no. 1 (1982): 81–92.

- [13] Verbitsky, M. "Theorems on the vanishing of cohomology for locally conformally hyper-Kähler manifolds." *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* 246, no. 3 (2004): 54–78.
- [14] Vuletescu, V. "Blowing-up points on locally conformally Kähler manifolds." *Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie* 52, no. 100 (2009): 387–390.