Theory of matroids and Tutte polynomial

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

I3M, Université Montpellier 2

The 22nd National School on Algebra,
Algebraic and Combinatorial Applications of Toric Ideals

Bucharest Romania, September 3, 2014

Independents

A matroid M is an ordered pair $(E, \mathcal{I})$ where E is a finite set $(E = \{1, \ldots, n\})$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is a family of subsets of E verifying the following conditions :

($I1$) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$,

($I2$) If $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I' \subset I$ then $I' \in \mathcal{I}$,

($I3$) If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $|I_1| < |I_2|$ then there exists $e \in I_2 \backslash I_1$ such that $I_1 \cup e \in \mathcal{I}$.

The members in $\mathcal{I}$ are called the independents of M. A subset in E not belonging to $\mathcal{I}$ is called dependent.

Representable Matroids

Theorem (Whitney 1935) Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ a set of columns (vectors) of a matrix with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the family of subsets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} = E$ such that the columns $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_m}\}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}$. Then, $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Representable Matroids

Theorem (Whitney 1935) Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ a set of columns (vectors) of a matrix with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the family of subsets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} = E$ such that the columns $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_m}\}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}$. Then, $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Proof : $(I1)$ et $(I2)$ are trivial.

Representable Matroids

Theorem (Whitney 1935) Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ a set of columns (vectors) of a matrix with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the family of subsets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} = E$ such that the columns $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_m}\}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}$. Then, $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Proof : $(I1)$ et $(I2)$ are trivial.
$(I3)$] Let $I_1', I_2' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2, are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$.

Representable Matroids

Theorem (Whitney 1935) Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ a set of columns (vectors) of a matrix with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the family of subsets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} = E$ such that the columns $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_m}\}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}$. Then, $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Proof : $(I1)$ et $(I2)$ are trivial.
$(I3)$] Let $I'_1, I'_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2, are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$.
By contradiction, suppose that $I_1 \cup e$ is linearly <u>dependent</u> for any $e \in I_2 \backslash I_1$.

Representable Matroids

Theorem (Whitney 1935) Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ a set of columns (vectors) of a matrix with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the family of subsets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} = E$ such that the columns $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_m}\}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}$. Then, $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Proof : $(I1)$ et $(I2)$ are trivial.
$(I3)$] Let $I_1', I_2' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2, are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$.
By contradiction, suppose that $I_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any $e \in I_2 \backslash I_1$. Let W the space generated by I_1 and I_2.

Representable Matroids

Theorem (Whitney 1935) Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ a set of columns (vectors) of a matrix with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the family of subsets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} = E$ such that the columns $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_m}\}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}$. Then, $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Proof : $(I1)$ et $(I2)$ are trivial.
$(I3)$] Let $I_1', I_2' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2, are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$.
By contradiction, suppose that $I_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any $e \in I_2 \backslash I_1$. Let W the space generated by I_1 and I_2.
On one hand, $dim(W) \geq |I_2|$,

Representable Matroids

Theorem (Whitney 1935) Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ a set of columns (vectors) of a matrix with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the family of subsets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} = E$ such that the columns $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_m}\}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}$. Then, $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Proof : $(I1)$ et $(I2)$ are trivial.
$(I3)$] Let $I'_1, I'_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2, are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$.
By contradiction, suppose that $I_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any $e \in I_2 \backslash I_1$. Let W the space generated by I_1 and I_2.
On one hand, $dim(W) \geq |I_2|$, on the other hand W is contained in the space generated by I_1.

Representable Matroids

Theorem (Whitney 1935) Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ a set of columns (vectors) of a matrix with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the family of subsets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} = E$ such that the columns $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_m}\}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}$. Then, $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Proof : $(I1)$ et $(I2)$ are trivial.
$(I3)$] Let $I_1', I_2' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2, are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$.
By contradiction, suppose that $I_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any $e \in I_2 \backslash I_1$. Let W the space generated by I_1 and I_2.
On one hand, $dim(W) \geq |I_2|$, on the other hand W is contained in the space generated by I_1.

$$|I_2| \leq dim(W) \leq |I_1| < |I_2| \quad !!!$$

Representable Matroids

Let A be the following matrix with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$.

$$A = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$\{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,5\}, \{2,4\}, \{2,5\}, \{4,5\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(M)$

A matroid obtained form a matrix A with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$ is denoted by $M(A)$ and is called representable over $\mathbb{F}$ or $\mathbb{F}$-representable.

Circuits

A subset $X \subseteq E$ is said to be minimal dependent if any proper subset of X is independent. A minimal dependent set of matroid M is called circuit of M.
We denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the set of circuits of a matroid.

Circuits

A subset $X \subseteq E$ is said to be minimal dependent if any proper subset of X is independent. A minimal dependent set of matroid M is called circuit of M.
We denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the set of circuits of a matroid.

$\mathcal{C}$ is the set of circuits of a matrid on E if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ verifies the following properties :

$(C1)$ $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{C}$,

$(C2)$ $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $C_1 \subseteq C_2$ then $C_1 = C_2$,

$(C3)$ *(elimination property)* If $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}, C_1 \neq C_2$ and $e \in C_1 \cap C_2$ then there exists $C_3 \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C_3 \subseteq \{C_1 \cup C_2\} \backslash \{e\}$.

Graphic Matroid

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cycle in G is a closed walk without repeated vertices.

Graphic Matroid

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cycle in G is a closed walk without repeated vertices.

Theorem The set of cycles in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

Graphic Matroid

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cycle in G is a closed walk without repeated vertices.

Theorem The set of cycles in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

This matroid is denoted by $M(G)$ and called graphic.

Graphic Matroid

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cycle in G is a closed walk without repeated vertices.

Theorem The set of cycles in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

This matroid is denoted by $M(G)$ and called graphic.

Proof : Verify $(C1), (C2)$ and $(C3)$.

Graphic Matroid

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cycle in G is a closed walk without repeated vertices.

Theorem The set of cycles in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

This matroid is denoted by $M(G)$ and called graphic.

Proof : Verify $(C1), (C2)$ and $(C3)$.

A subset of edges $I \subset \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of G is independent if the graph induced by I does not contain a cycle.

Graphic Matroid



Graphic Matroid



It can be checked that $M(G)$ is isomorphic to $M(A)$ (under the bijection $e_i \to i$).

$$\begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{array} \\ A = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Graphic Matroid

Theorem A graphic matroid is always representable over $\mathbb{R}$.

Graphic Matroid

Theorem A graphic matroid is always representable over $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof (idea) Let $G = (V, E)$ be an oriented graph and let $\{x_i,\ i \in V\}$ be the canonical base of $\mathbb{R}$.

Graphic Matroid

Theorem A graphic matroid is always representable over $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof (idea) Let $G = (V, E)$ be an oriented graph and let $\{x_i,\ i \in V\}$ be the canonical base of $\mathbb{R}$.

Exercice : Verify that the graph $G = (V, E)$ gives the same matroid that the one given by the set of vectors $y_e = x_i - x_j$ where $e = (i, j) \in E$.

Graphic Matroid



G

$$A = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} y_a & y_b & y_c & y_d \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Graphic Matroid

G



$$A = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} y_a & y_b & y_c & y_d \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$M(G)$ is isomorphic to $M(A)$ $(a \to y_a, b \to y_b, c \to y_c, d \to y_d)$.

Graphic Matroid

G



$$A = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} \quad y_a & \;\; y_b & \;\; y_c & \;\; y_d \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$M(G)$ is isomorphic to $M(A)$ $(a \to y_a, b \to y_b, c \to y_c, d \to y_d)$.

The cycle formed by the edges $a = \{1,2\}, b = \{1,3\}$ et $c = \{2,3\}$ in the graph correspond to the linear dependency $y_b - y_a = y_c$.

Bases

A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of all bases of a matroid.

Bases

A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of all bases of a matroid.

Lemma The bases of a matroid have the same cardinality.

Bases

A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of all bases of a matroid.

Lemma The bases of a matroid have the same cardinality.

Proof : exercices.

Bases

A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of all bases of a matroid.

Lemma The bases of a matroid have the same cardinality.

Proof : exercices.

The family $\mathcal{B}$ verifies the following conditions :

$(B1)$ $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$,

$(B2)$ *(exchange propety)* $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in B_1 \backslash B_2$ then there exist $y \in B_2 \backslash B_1$ such that $(B_1 \backslash x) \cup y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Bases

A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of all bases of a matroid.

Lemma The bases of a matroid have the same cardinality.

Proof : exercices.

The family $\mathcal{B}$ verifies the following conditions :

$(B1)$ $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$,

$(B2)$ *(exchange propety)* $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in B_1 \backslash B_2$ then there exist $y \in B_2 \backslash B_1$ such that $(B_1 \backslash x) \cup y \in \mathcal{B}$.

If $\mathcal{I}$ is the family of subsets contained in a set of $\mathcal{B}$ then $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

Bases

Theorem $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of basis of a matroid if and only if it verifies $(B1)$ and $(B2)$.

Bases

Theorem $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of basis of a matroid if and only if it verifies $(B1)$ and $(B2)$.



Bases



Bases





Bases





Bases





Bases



Rank

The rank of a set $X \subseteq E$ is defined by

$$r_M(X) = \max\{|Y| : Y \subseteq X, Y \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Rank

The rank of a set $X \subseteq E$ is defined by

$$r_M(X) = \max\{|Y| : Y \subseteq X, Y \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

$r = r_M$ is the rank function of a matroid $(E, \mathcal{I})$ (where $\mathcal{I} = \{I \subseteq E : r(I) = |I|\}$) if and only if r verifies the following conditions :

$(R1)$ $0 \leq r(X) \leq |X|$, for all $X \subseteq E$,

$(R2)$ $r(X) \leq r(Y)$, for all $X \subseteq Y$,

$(R3)$ *(sub-modulairity)* $r(X \cup Y) + r(X \cap Y) \leq r(X) + r(Y)$ for all $X, Y \subset E$.

Rank

Let M be a graphic matroid obtained from G



Rank

Let M be a graphic matroid obtained from G



It can be verified that :
$r_M(\{a,b,c\}) = r_M(\{c,d\}) = r_M(\{a,d\}) = 2$ et
$r(M(G)) = r_M(\{a,b,c,d\}) = 3$.

Duality

Let M be a matroid on the ground set E and let $\mathcal{B}$ the set of bases of M. Then,

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{E \backslash B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is the set of bases of a matroid on E.

Duality

Let M be a matroid on the ground set E and let $\mathcal{B}$ the set of bases of M. Then,

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{E \backslash B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is the set of bases of a matroid on E.

The matroid on E having $\mathcal{B}^*$ as set of bases, denoted by M^*, is called the dual of M.

A base of M^* is also called cobase of M.

Duality

We have that

- $r(M^*) = |E| - r_M$ and $M^{**} = M$.

Duality

We have that

- $r(M^*) = |E| - r_M$ and $M^{**} = M$.
- The set $\mathcal{I}^*$ of independents of M^* is given by

$$\mathcal{I}^* = \{X \mid X \subset E \text{ such that there exists } B \in \mathcal{B}(M) \text{ with } X \cap B = \emptyset\}.$$

Duality

We have that

- $r(M^*) = |E| - r_M$ and $M^{**} = M$.
- The set $\mathcal{I}^*$ of independents of M^* is given by

$\mathcal{I}^* = \{X \mid X \subset E$ such that there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ with $X \cap B = \emptyset\}$.

- The rank function of M^* is given by

$$r_{M^*}(X) = |X| + r_M(E \backslash X) - r_M,$$

for $X \subset E$.

Cocycle Matroid

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cocycle (or cut) of G is the set of edges joining the two parts of a partition of the set of vertices of the graph.

Cocycle Matroid

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cocycle (or cut) of G is the set of edges joining the two parts of a partition of the set of vertices of the graph.

Theorem Let $\mathcal{C}(G)^*$ be the set of minimal (by inclusion) cocycles of a graph G. Then, $\mathcal{C}(G)^*$ is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

Cocycle Matroid

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cocycle (or cut) of G is the set of edges joining the two parts of a partition of the set of vertices of the graph.

Theorem Let $\mathcal{C}(G)^*$ be the set of minimal (by inclusion) cocycles of a graph G. Then, $\mathcal{C}(G)^*$ is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

The matroid obtained on this way is called the matroid of cocycle of G or bond matroid, denoted by $B(G)$.

Bond Matroid

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.

Bond Matroid

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



Bond Matroid

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



$\mathcal{B}(M(G)) = \{\{4,1,3\},\{4,1,2\},\{4,2,3\}\}$

Bond Matroid

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



$\mathcal{B}(M(G)) = \{\{4, 1, 3\}, \{4, 1, 2\}, \{4, 2, 3\}\}$
$\mathcal{B}(M^*(G)) = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{1\}\}$

Bond Matroid

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



$\mathcal{B}(M(G)) = \{\{4,1,3\},\{4,1,2\},\{4,2,3\}\}$
$\mathcal{B}(M^*(G)) = \{\{2\},\{3\},\{1\}\}$
$\mathcal{I}(M^*(G)) = \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$

Bond Matroid

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



$\mathcal{B}(M(G)) = \{\{4, 1, 3\}, \{4, 1, 2\}, \{4, 2, 3\}\}$
$\mathcal{B}(M^*(G)) = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{1\}\}$
$\mathcal{I}(M^*(G)) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$
The dependents of $M^*(G)$ are $\mathcal{P}(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}) \setminus \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$

Bond Matroid

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



$\mathcal{B}(M(G)) = \{\{4,1,3\},\{4,1,2\},\{4,2,3\}\}$
$\mathcal{B}(M^*(G)) = \{\{2\},\{3\},\{1\}\}$
$\mathcal{I}(M^*(G)) = \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$
The dependents of $M^*(G)$ are $\mathcal{P}(\{1,2,3,4\}) \setminus \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$
$\mathcal{C}(M^*(G)) = \{\{4\},\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$ that are precisely the cocycles of G.

Planarity

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.

Planarity

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



Planarity

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



Planarity

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



Planarity

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



Remark The dual of a graphic matroid is not necessarly graphic.

Duality - representable matroid

Theorem The dual of a $\mathbb{F}$-representable matroid is $\mathbb{F}$-representable.

Duality - representable matroid

Theorem The dual of a $\mathbb{F}$-representable matroid is $\mathbb{F}$-representable.

Proof. The matrix representing M can always be written as

$$(I_r \mid A)$$

where I_r is the identity $r \times r$ and A is a matrix of size $r \times (n - r)$.

Duality - representable matroid

Theorem The dual of a $\mathbb{F}$-representable matroid is $\mathbb{F}$-representable.

Proof. The matrix representing M can always be written as

$$(I_r \mid A)$$

where I_r is the identity $r \times r$ and A is a matrix of size $r \times (n-r)$.

(Exercise) M^* can be obtained from the set of columns of the matrix

$$(-{}^tA \mid I_{n-r})$$

where I_{n-r} is the identity $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ and ${}^tA$ is the transpose of A.

Duality - representable matroid

The matroid M^* is also called the orthogonal matroid of M since the duality for representable matroids is a generalization of the notion of orthogonality in vector spaces.

Duality - representable matroid

The matroid M^* is also called the orthogonal matroid of M since the duality for representable matroids is a generalization of the notion of orthogonality in vector spaces.

Let V be a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^n$ where $n = |E|$. We recall that the orthogonal space $V^\perp$ is defined from the canonical scalar product $\langle u, v\rangle = \sum_{e\in E} u(e)v(e)$ by

$$V^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid \langle u, v\rangle = 0 \text{ for any } u \in V\}.$$

Duality - representable matroid

The matroid M^* is also called the orthogonal matroid of M since the duality for representable matroids is a generalization of the notion of orthogonality in vector spaces.

Let V be a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^n$ where $n = |E|$. We recall that the orthogonal space $V^\perp$ is defined from the canonical scalar product $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{e \in E} u(e)v(e)$ by

$$V^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } u \in V\}.$$

The orthogonal space of the space generated by the columns of $(I \mid A)$ is given by the space generated by the columns of $(-{}^tA \mid I_{n-r})$.

Operation : deletion

Let M be a matroid on the set E and let $A \subset E$. Then,

$$\{X \subset E \backslash A \mid X \text{ is independent in } M\}$$

is a set of independent of a matroid on $E \backslash A$.

Operation : deletion

Let M be a matroid on the set E and let $A \subset E$. Then,

$$\{X \subset E \backslash A \mid X \text{ is independent in } M\}$$

is a set of independent of a matroid on $E \backslash A$.

This matroid is obtained from M by deleting the elements of A and it is denoted by $M \backslash A$.

Operation : contraction

Let M be a matroid on the set E and let $A \subset E$.
Let $M|_A = \{X \subseteq A | X \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$ and $X \subseteq E \setminus A$. Then,

$\{X \subseteq E \backslash A|$ there exists a base B of $M|_A$ such that $X \cup B \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$

is the set of independents of a matroid in $E \setminus A$.

Operation : contraction

Let M be a matroid on the set E and let $A \subset E$.
Let $M|_A = \{X \subseteq A | X \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$ and $X \subseteq E \setminus A$. Then,

$$\{X \subseteq E \backslash A | \text{ there exists a base } B \text{ of } M|_A \text{ such that } X \cup B \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$$

is the set of independents of a matroid in $E \setminus A$.

This matroid is obtained from M by contracting the elements of A and it is denoted by M/A.

Operations : deletion and contraction

Properties

(i) $(M\backslash A)\backslash A' = M\backslash(A \cup A')$

(ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$

(iii) $(M\backslash A)/A' = (M/A')\backslash A$

Operations : deletion and contraction

Properties

(i) $(M\backslash A)\backslash A' = M\backslash(A \cup A')$

(ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$

(iii) $(M\backslash A)/A' = (M/A')\backslash A$

The operations deletion and contraction are duals, that is,

$$(M\backslash A)^* = (M^*)/A \text{ and } (M/A)^* = (M^*)\backslash A$$

Operations : deletion and contraction

Properties

(i) $(M\backslash A)\backslash A' = M\backslash(A \cup A')$

(ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$

(iii) $(M\backslash A)/A' = (M/A')\backslash A$

The operations deletion and contraction are duals, that is,

$$(M\backslash A)^* = (M^*)/A \text{ and } (M/A)^* = (M^*)\backslash A$$

and thus $M/A = (M^* \setminus A)^*$

Operations : deletion and contraction

Properties
(i) $(M\backslash A)\backslash A' = M\backslash(A \cup A')$
(ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$
(iii) $(M\backslash A)/A' = (M/A')\backslash A$

The operations deletion and contraction are duals, that is,

$$(M\backslash A)^* = (M^*)/A \text{ and } (M/A)^* = (M^*)\backslash A$$

and thus $M/A = (M^* \setminus A)^*$
A minor of a matroid of M is any matroid obtained by a sequence of deletions and contractions.

Operations : deletion and contraction

Properties
(i) $(M\backslash A)\backslash A' = M\backslash(A \cup A')$
(ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$
(iii) $(M\backslash A)/A' = (M/A')\backslash A$

The operations deletion and contraction are duals, that is,

$$(M\backslash A)^* = (M^*)/A \text{ and } (M/A)^* = (M^*)\backslash A$$

and thus $M/A = (M^* \setminus A)^*$
A minor of a matroid of M is any matroid obtained by a sequence of deletions and contractions.

Question : Is it true that any family of matroids is closed under deletions/contractions operations ?

Minors - uniform matroids

The uniform matroid (denoted by $U_{n,r}$) is the matroid on E with $|E| = n$ elements where

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{n,r}) = \{X \subset E : |X| = r\}$$

Minors - uniform matroids

The uniform matroid (denoted by $U_{n,r}$) is the matroid on E with $|E| = n$ elements where

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{n,r}) = \{X \subset E : |X| = r\}$$

Proposition Any minor of a uniform matroid is uniform.

Minors - uniform matroids

The uniform matroid (denoted by $U_{n,r}$) is the matroid on E with $|E| = n$ elements where

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{n,r}) = \{X \subset E : |X| = r\}$$

Proposition Any minor of a uniform matroid is uniform.

Proof Deletion : let $T \subseteq E$ with $|T| = t$. Then,

$$U_{n,r} \backslash T = \begin{cases} U_{n-t,n-t} & \text{if } \; n \geq t \geq n-r \\ U_{n-t,r} & \text{if } \; t < n-r. \end{cases}$$

Minors - uniform matroids

The uniform matroid (denoted by $U_{n,r}$) is the matroid on E with $|E| = n$ elements where

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{n,r}) = \{X \subset E : |X| = r\}$$

Proposition Any minor of a uniform matroid is uniform.

Proof Deletion : let $T \subseteq E$ with $|T| = t$. Then,

$$U_{n,r} \backslash T = \begin{cases} U_{n-t,n-t} & \text{if } \; n \geq t \geq n-r \\ U_{n-t,r} & \text{if } \; t < n-r. \end{cases}$$

Contraction : it follows by using duality.

Minors - graphic matroids

Proposition The class of graphic matroids is closed under deletions and contractions.

Minors - graphic matroids

Proposition The class of graphic matroids is closed under deletions and contractions.



Contracting element 6

Minors - representable matroids

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is closed under deletions and contractions.

Minors - representable matroids

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is closed under deletions and contractions.

Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E}$ of $\mathbb{F}^d$.

Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$

Minors - representable matroids

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is closed under deletions and contractions.

Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E}$ of $\mathbb{F}^d$.

Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$

Remark : Lines sums and scalar multiplications do not change the associated matroid. So, if $v_a \neq \bar{0}$ then we suppose that v_a is the unit vector.

Minors - representable matroids

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is closed under deletions and contractions.

Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e\in E}$ of $\mathbb{F}^d$.

Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e\in E\setminus a}$

Remark : Lines sums and scalar multiplications do not change the associated matroid. So, if $v_a \neq \overline{0}$ then we suppose that v_a is the unit vector.

Contracting : M/a is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v'_e)_{e\in E\setminus a}$ where v'_e is the vector obtained from v_e by deleting the non zero entry of v_a.

Minors - representable matroids

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is closed under deletions and contractions.

Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e\in E}$ of $\mathbb{F}^d$.

Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e\in E\setminus a}$

Remark : Lines sums and scalar multiplications do not change the associated matroid. So, if $v_a \neq \overline{0}$ then we suppose that v_a is the unit vector.

Contracting : M/a is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v'_e)_{e\in E\setminus a}$ where v'_e is the vector obtained from v_e by deleting the non zero entry of v_a.

- If we change the nonzero component we obtain another representation of M/a.

Minors - representable matroids

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is closed under deletions and contractions.

Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e\in E}$ of $\mathbb{F}^d$.

Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e\in E\setminus a}$

Remark : Lines sums and scalar multiplications do not change the associated matroid. So, if $v_a \neq \overline{0}$ then we suppose that v_a is the unit vector.

Contracting : M/a is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v'_e)_{e\in E\setminus a}$ where v'_e is the vector obtained from v_e by deleting the non zero entry of v_a.

- If we change the nonzero component we obtain another representation of M/a.
- If $v_a = \overline{0}$ then a is a loop of M and thus $M/a = M \setminus a$.

Minors - representable matroids





Excluded Minors

For any field $\mathbb{F}$, there exists a list of excluded minors, that is, nonrepresentable matroids over $\mathbb{F}$ but any of its proper minors is representable over $\mathbb{F}$.

Excluded Minors

For any field $\mathbb{F}$, there exists a list of excluded minors, that is, nonrepresentable matroids over $\mathbb{F}$ but any of its proper minors is representable over $\mathbb{F}$.

Determining the list of excluded minors over $\mathbb{F}$ gives a characterization of the matroids representables over $\mathbb{F}$.

Excluded Minors

For any field $\mathbb{F}$, there exists a list of excluded minors, that is, nonrepresentable matroids over $\mathbb{F}$ but any of its proper minors is representable over $\mathbb{F}$.

Determining the list of excluded minors over $\mathbb{F}$ gives a characterization of the matroids representables over $\mathbb{F}$.

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (binary matroids) : the list has only one matroid $U_{2,4}$ (3 pages proof)

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{2,4}) = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\},\{3,4\}\}$$

Excluded Minors

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(3) = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ (ternary matroids) : the list has 4 matroids F_7 F_7^*, $U_{2,5}$ $U_{3,5}$ (10 pages proof)

Excluded Minors

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(3) = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ (ternary matroids) : the list has 4 matroids F_7 F_7^*, $U_{2,5}$ $U_{3,5}$ (10 pages proof)

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(4)$: the list has 8 matroids explicitly given (50 pages proof)

Excluded Minors

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(3) = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ (ternary matroids) : the list has 4 matroids F_7 F_7^*, $U_{2,5}$ $U_{3,5}$ (10 pages proof)

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(4)$: the list has 8 matroids explicitly given (50 pages proof)

Theorem A matroid is graphic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M^*(K_5) = B(K_5)$ nor $M^*(K_{3,3}) = B(K_{3,3})$ as minors.

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(3) = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ (ternary matroids) : the list has 4 matroids F_7 F_7^*, $U_{2,5}$ $U_{3,5}$ (10 pages proof)

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(4)$: the list has 8 matroids explicitly given (50 pages proof)

Theorem A matroid is graphic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M^*(K_5) = B(K_5)$ nor $M^*(K_{3,3}) = B(K_{3,3})$ as minors.

Theorem A matroid is cographic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M(K_5)$ nor $M(K_{3,3})$ as minors.

Excluded Minors

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(3) = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ (ternary matroids) : the list has 4 matroids F_7 F_7^*, $U_{2,5}$ $U_{3,5}$ (10 pages proof)

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(4)$: the list has 8 matroids explicitly given (50 pages proof)

Theorem A matroid is graphic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M^*(K_5) = B(K_5)$ nor $M^*(K_{3,3}) = B(K_{3,3})$ as minors.

Theorem A matroid is cographic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M(K_5)$ nor $M(K_{3,3})$ as minors.

Theorem A matroid is regular if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}$, F_7 nor F_7^* as minors.

Tutte Polynomial

The Tutte polynomial of a matroid M is the generating function defined as follows

$$t(M;x,y) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(X)}(y-1)^{|X|-r(X)}.$$

Tutte Polynomial

The Tutte polynomial of a matroid M is the generating function defined as follows

$$t(M;x,y) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(X)} (y-1)^{|X|-r(X)}.$$

Let $U_{2,3}$ be the matroid of rank 2 on 3 elements with $\mathcal{B}(U_{2,3}) = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$

Tutte Polynomial

The Tutte polynomial of a matroid M is the generating function defined as follows

$$t(M;x,y)=\sum_{X\subseteq E}(x-1)^{r(E)-r(X)}(y-1)^{|X|-r(X)}.$$

Let $U_{2,3}$ be the matroid of rank 2 on 3 elements with $\mathcal{B}(U_{2,3})=\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
t(U_{2,3};x,y) &= \sum_{X\subseteq E,\ |X|=0}(x-1)^{2-0}(y-1)^{0-0}+\sum_{X\subseteq E,\ |X|=1}(x-1)^{2-1}(y-1)^{1-1}\\
&+\sum_{X\subseteq E,\ |X|=2}(x-1)^{2-2}(y-1)^{2-2}+\sum_{X\subseteq E,\ |X|=3}(x-1)^{2-2}(y-1)^{3-2}\\
&=(x-1)^2+3(x-1)+3(1)+y-1\\
&=x^2-2x+1+3x-3+3+y-1=x^2+x+y.
\end{aligned}$$

Tutte Polynomial

A loop of a matroid M is a circuit of cardinality one.
An isthmus of M is an element that is contained in all the bases.

Tutte Polynomial

A loop of a matroid M is a circuit of cardinality one.
An isthmus of M is an element that is contained in all the bases.

The Tutte polynomial can be expressed recursively as follows

$$t(M;x,y) = \begin{cases} t(M\setminus e;x,y) + t(M/e;x,y) & \text{if } e \neq \text{isthmus, loop,} \\ x \cdot t(M\setminus e;x,y) & \text{if } e \text{ is an isthmus,} \\ y \cdot t(M/e;x,y) & \text{if } e \text{ is a loop.} \end{cases}$$

Acyclic Orientations

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph. An orientation of G is an orientation of the edges of G.

We say that the orientation is acyclic if the oriented graph do not contain an oriented cycle (i.e., a cycle where all its edges are oriented clockwise or anti-clockwise).

Acyclic Orientations

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph. An orientation of G is an orientation of the edges of G.

We say that the orientation is acyclic if the oriented graph do not contain an oriented cycle (i.e., a cycle where all its edges are oriented clockwise or anti-clockwise).

Theorem The number of acyclic orientations of G is equals to

$$t(M(G); 2, 0).$$

Acyclic Orientations

Example : There are 6 acyclic orientations of C_3



Notice that $M(C_3)$ is isomorphic to $U_{2,3}$.

Example : There are 6 acyclic orientations of C_3



Notice that $M(C_3)$ is isomorphic to $U_{2,3}$.

Since $t(U_{2,3}; x, y) = x^2 + x + y$ then the number of acyclic orientations of C_3 is $t(U_{2,3}; 2, 0) = 2^2 + 2 + 0 = 6$.

Chromatic Polynomial

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let λ be a positive integer.

Chromatic Polynomial

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let λ be a positive integer.
A λ-coloring of G is a map $\phi : V \longrightarrow \{1, \ldots, \lambda\}$.

Chromatic Polynomial

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let λ be a positive integer.
A λ-coloring of G is a map $\phi : V \longrightarrow \{1, \ldots, \lambda\}$.

The coloring is called good if for any edge $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$.

Chromatic Polynomial

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let λ be a positive integer.
A λ-coloring of G is a map $\phi : V \longrightarrow \{1, \ldots, \lambda\}$.

The coloring is called good if for any edge $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$.



Chromatic Polynomial

Let $\chi(G, \lambda)$ be the number of good λ-colorings of G.

Chromatic Polynomial

Let $\chi(G,\lambda)$ be the number of good λ-colorings of G.

Theorem $\chi(G,\lambda)$ is a polynomial on λ. Moreover

$$\chi(G,\lambda) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} (-1)^{|X|} \lambda^{\omega(G[X])}$$

where $\omega(G[X])$ denote the number of connected components of the subgraph generated by X.

Proof (idea) By using the inclusion-exclusion formula.

Chromatic Polynomial

The chromatic polynomial has been introduced by Birkhoff as a tool to attack the 4-color problem.

Indeed, if for a planar graph G we have $\chi(G, 4) > 0$ then G admits a good 4-coloring.

Chromatic Polynomial

The chromatic polynomial has been introduced by Birkhoff as a tool to attack the 4-color problem.

Indeed, if for a planar graph G we have $\chi(G,4) > 0$ then G admits a good 4-coloring.

Theorem If G is a graph with $\omega(G)$ connected components. Then,

$$\chi(G,\lambda) = \lambda^{\omega(G)}(-1)^{|V(G)|-\omega(G)} t(M(G); 1-\lambda, 0).$$

Chromatic Polynomial

The chromatic polynomial has been introduced by Birkhoff as a tool to attack the 4-color problem.

Indeed, if for a planar graph G we have $\chi(G,4) > 0$ then G admits a good 4-coloring.

Theorem If G is a graph with $\omega(G)$ connected components. Then,

$$\chi(G,\lambda) = \lambda^{\omega(G)}(-1)^{|V(G)|-\omega(G)}t(M(G);1-\lambda,0).$$

Exemple : $\chi(K_3,3) = 3^1(-1)^{3-1}t(K_3;1-3,0)$

$$= 3\cdot 1\cdot t(U_{2,3};-2,0) = 3((-2)^2-2+0) = 6.$$

Ehrhart Polynomial

The theory of Ehrhart focuses in counting the number of points with integer coordinates lying in a polytope.

Ehrhart Polynomial

The theory of Ehrhart focuses in counting the number of points with integer coordinates lying in a polytope.
A polytope is called integer if all its vertices have integer coordinates.
Ehrhart studied the function i_P that counts the number of integer points in the polytope P *dilated* by a factor of t

$$\begin{aligned} i_P : \quad & \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \\ & t \mapsto \left| tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| \end{aligned}$$

Ehrhart Polynomial

Theorem (Ehrhart) i_P is a polynomial on t of degree d,

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

Ehrhart Polynomial

Theorem (Ehrhart) i_P is a polynomial on t of degree d,

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

- c_d is equals to $Vol(P)$ (the volume of P),

Ehrhart Polynomial

Theorem (Ehrhart) i_P is a polynomial on t of degree d,

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

- c_d is equals to $Vol(P)$ (the volume of P),
- c_{d-1} is equals to $Vol(\partial(P)/2)$ where $\partial(P)$ is the surface of P,

Ehrhart Polynomial

Theorem (Ehrhart) i_P is a polynomial on t of degree d,

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

- c_d is equals to $Vol(P)$ (the volume of P),
- c_{d-1} is equals to $Vol(\partial(P)/2)$ where $\partial(P)$ is the surface of P,
- $c_0 = 1$ is the Euler's characteristic of P.

Ehrhart Polynomial

Theorem (Ehrhart) i_P is a polynomial on t of degree d,

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

- c_d is equals to $Vol(P)$ (the volume of P),
- c_{d-1} is equals to $Vol(\partial(P)/2)$ where $\partial(P)$ is the surface of P,
- $c_0 = 1$ is the Euler's characteristic of P.

All others coefficients remain a mystery ! !

Ehrhart Polynomial

The Minkowski's sum of two sets A and B of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is

$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Ehrhart Polynomial

The Minkowski's sum of two sets A and B of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is

$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Let $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ be a finite set of elements of $\mathbb{R}^d$.
A zonotope generated by A, denoted by $Z(A)$, is a polytope formed by the Minkowski's sum of line segments

$$Z(A) = \{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k | \alpha_i \in [-v_i, v_i]\}.$$

Ehrhart Polynomial



Ehrhart Polynomial

Permutahedron



Ehrhart Polynomial

A matroid is regular if it is representable over any field.

Ehrhart Polynomial

A matroid is regular if it is representable over any field.

Theorem Let M be a regular matroid and let A be one of its representation matrix. Then, the Ehrhart polynomial associated to the zonotope $Z(A)$ is given by

$$i_{Z(A)}(q) = q^{r(M)} t\left(M; 1 + \frac{1}{q}, 1\right).$$

Knots



Reidemeister moves



Knots



Knots



Knots



Bracket polynomial

For any link diagram D define a Laurent polynomial $< D >$ in one variable A which obeys the following three rules where U denotes the unknot :

Bracket polynomial

For any link diagram D define a Laurent polynomial $< D >$ in one variable A which obeys the following three rules where U denotes the unknot :

i) $\langle U \rangle = 1$

ii) $\langle U + D \rangle = -(A^2 + A^{-2}) \langle D \rangle$

iii) 

Knots

Theorem For any link L the bracket polynomial is independent of the order in which rules $(i) - (iii)$ are applied to the crossings. Further, it is invariant under the Reidemeister moves **II** and **III**

but it is not invariant under Reidemeister move **I**!!

Knots

Theorem For any link L the bracket polynomial is independent of the order in which rules $(i) - (iii)$ are applied to the crossings. Further, it is invariant under the Reidemeister moves **II** and **III**

but it is not invariant under Reidemeister move **I**!!

The writhe of an oriented link diagram D is the sum of the signs at the crossings of D (denoted by $\omega(D)$).

Knots





$\omega(D)=1$

Knots

Theorem For any link L define the Laurent polynomial

$$f_D(A) = (-A^3)^{\omega(D)} < L >$$

Then, $f_D(A)$ is an invariant of ambient isotopy.

Knots

Theorem For any link L define the Laurent polynomial

$$f_D(A) = (-A^3)^{\omega(D)} < L >$$

Then, $f_D(A)$ is an invariant of ambient isotopy.

Now, define for any link L

$$V_L(z) = f_D(z^{-1/4})$$

where D is any diagram representing L. Then $V_L(z)$ is the Jones polynomial of the oriented link L.

Knots



Knots



Knots





Knots



Knots

A link diagram is alternating if the crossings alternate under-over-under-over ... as the link is traversed.

Knots

A link diagram is alternating if the crossings alternate under-over-under-over ... as the link is traversed.

A link is alternating if there is an alternating link diagram representing L.

Knots

A link diagram is alternating if the crossings alternate under-over-under-over ... as the link is traversed.

A link is alternating if there is an alternating link diagram representing L.

Theorem (Thistlethwaite 1987) If D is an oriented alternating link diagram then

$$V_L(z) = (z^{-1/4})^{3\omega(D)-2} t(M(G); -z, -z^{-1})$$

where G is the graph associated to the knot diagram.

More applications

- Code theory
- Flow polynomial
- Bicycle space of a graph
- Statistical mechanics
- Arrangements of hyperplanes

$\vdots$