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For each base $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we introduce a variable $y_{B}$ and we denote by $R$ the polynomial ring in the variables $y_{B}$, i.e., $R:=k\left[y_{B} \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\right]$. A binomial in $R$ is a difference of two monomials, an ideal generated by binomials is called a binomial ideal.
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## Toric ideal associated to a matroid

We consider the homomorphism of $k$-algebras
$\varphi: R \longrightarrow k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ induced by

$$
y_{B} \mapsto \prod_{i \in B} x_{i} .
$$

The image of $\varphi$ is a standard graded $k$-algebra, which is called the bases monomial ring of the matroid $M$ and it is denoted by $S_{M}$. The kernel of $\varphi$, which is the presentation ideal of $S_{M}$, is called the toric ideal of $M$ and is denoted by $I_{M}$.
Observation Let $b$ be the number of bases of a matroid $M$ on $n$ elements. Then, $I_{M}$ is generated by the kernel of the integer $n \times b$ matrix whose columns are the zero-one incidence vectors of the bases of $M$.
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## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}(M(G))=\left\{B_{1}=\{123\}, B_{2}=\{125\}, B_{3}=\{134\}, B_{4}=\right. \\
& \left.\{135\}, B_{5}=\{145\}, B_{6}=\{234\}, B_{7}=\{245\}, B_{8}=\{345\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccl}
B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3} & B_{4} & B_{5} & B_{6} & B_{7} & B_{8} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$
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$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3} & B_{4} & B_{5} & B_{6} & B_{7} & B_{8} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
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By considering $\varphi: k\left[y_{B_{1}}, \ldots, y_{B_{8}}\right] \longrightarrow k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}\right]$ we have that
$y_{B_{1}} \mapsto x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, \quad y_{B_{2}} \mapsto x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}, \quad y_{B_{3}} \mapsto x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}, \ldots$
An element of the kernel of $\varphi$ (i.e., $\left.I_{M(G)}\right)$ is: $y_{B_{7}} y_{B_{4}}-y_{B_{2}} y_{B_{8}}$.
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Observation Since $R / I_{M} \simeq S_{M}$, it follows that the height of $I_{M}$ is $\operatorname{ht}\left(I_{M}\right)=|\mathcal{B}|-\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{M}\right)=|\mathcal{B}|-(n-c+1)$, where $c$ is the number of connected components of $M$.
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Brualdi proved that the exchange axiom is equivalent to the symmetric exchange axiom :

For every $B_{1}, B_{2}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ and for every $e \in B_{1} \backslash B_{2}$, there exists $f \in B_{2} \backslash B_{1}$ such that both $\left(B_{1} \cup\{f\}\right) \backslash\{e\} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\left(B_{2} \cup\{e\}\right) \backslash\{f\} \in \mathcal{B}$.
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Suppose that a pair of bases $D_{1}, D_{2}$ is obtained from a pair of bases $B_{1}, B_{2}$ by a symmetric exchange. That is $D_{1}=\left(B_{1} \backslash e\right) \cup f$ and $D_{2}=\left(B_{2} \backslash f\right) \cup e$ for some $e \in B_{1}$ and $f \in B_{2}$.
We say that the quadratic binomial $y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{2}}-y_{D_{1}} y_{D_{2}}$ correspond to a symmetric exchange.

It is clear that such binomial belong to the ideal $I_{M}$.
Conjecture (White 1980) For every matroid $M$ its toric ideal $I_{M}$ is generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges.
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## White's conjecture

Observation for $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{s} \in \mathcal{B}$, the homogeneous binomial $y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{s}}-y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{s}}$ belongs to $I_{M}$ if and only if $B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{s}=D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{s}$ as multisets.
Since $I_{M}$ is a homogeneous binomial ideal, it follows that
$I_{M}=\left(\left\{y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{s}}-y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{s}} \mid B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{s}=D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{s}\right.\right.$ as multisets $\left.\}\right)$

## White's conjecture

Observation for $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{s} \in \mathcal{B}$, the homogeneous binomial $y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{s}}-y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{s}}$ belongs to $I_{M}$ if and only if $B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{s}=D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{s}$ as multisets.

Since $I_{M}$ is a homogeneous binomial ideal, it follows that
$I_{M}=\left(\left\{y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{s}}-y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{s}} \mid B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{s}=D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{s}\right.\right.$ as multisets $\left.\}\right)$
White's original formulation Two sets of bases of a matroid have equal union (as multiset), then one can pass between them by a sequence of symmetric exchanges.
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Observation for $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{s} \in \mathcal{B}$, the homogeneous binomial $y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{s}}-y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{s}}$ belongs to $I_{M}$ if and only if $B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{s}=D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{s}$ as multisets.

Since $I_{M}$ is a homogeneous binomial ideal, it follows that
$I_{M}=\left(\left\{y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{s}}-y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{s}} \mid B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{s}=D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{s}\right.\right.$ as multisets $\left.\}\right)$
White's original formulation Two sets of bases of a matroid have equal union (as multiset), then one can pass between them by a sequence of symmetric exchanges.
Observation White's conjecture does not depend on the field $k$.

## Example continued

We had $\mathcal{B}(M(G))=\left\{B_{1}=\{123\}, B_{2}=\{125\}, B_{3}=\{134\}, B_{4}=\right.$ $\left.\{135\}, B_{5}=\{145\}, B_{6}=\{234\}, B_{7}=\{245\}, B_{8}=\{345\}\right\}$.

We also had that $y_{B_{7}} y_{B_{4}}-y_{B_{2}} y_{B_{8}} \in I_{M(G)}$.
We can check that $B_{7} \cup B_{4}=\{2,4,5,1,3,5\}=B_{2} \cup B_{8}$.
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## Results of White's conjecture

- Blasiak (2008) has confirmed the conjecture for graphical matroids.
- Kashiwaba (2010) checked the case of matroids of rank $\leq 3$.
- Schweig (2011) proved the case of lattice path matroids which are a subclass of transversal matroids.
- Bonin (2013) confirmed the conjecture for sparse paving matroids
- Lasoń, Michałek (2014) proved for strongly base orderables matroids.
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## Blasiak's reduction

Let $M$ be a matroid on a ground set $E$ with $|E|=n r(M)$ where $r(M)$ is the rank of $M$.
The $n$-base graph of $M$, which is denoted by $G_{n}(M)$, has as its vertex set the set of all sets of $n$ disjoint bases (a set of $n$ bases $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ of $M$ is disjoint if and only if

$$
|E|=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}
$$

There is an edge between $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right\}$ if and only if $B_{i}=D_{j}$ for some $i, j$.

We have that $r\left(U_{2,6}\right)=2$, and let us take $n=3$.

## $G_{2}\left(U_{2,6}\right)$

We have that $r\left(U_{2,6}\right)=2$, and let us take $n=3$. So
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We have that $r(M(G))=2$ and we set $n=3$.
$\mathcal{B}(M(G))=\left\{B_{1}=\{1,3\}, B_{2}=\{1,4\}, B_{3}=\{1,5\}, B_{4}=\right.$ $\{1,6\}, B_{5}=\{2,3\}, B_{6}=\{2,4\}, B_{7}=\{2,5\}, B_{8}=\{2,6\}, B_{9}=$ $\left.\{3,5\}, B_{10}=\{3,6\}, B_{11}=\{4,5\}, B_{12}=\{4,6\}\right\}$.
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We notice that $y_{B_{4}} y_{B_{6}} y_{B_{9}}-y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{7}} y_{B_{12}} \in I_{M(G)}$

## $G_{3}(M(G))$



15,23,46

We notice that $y_{B_{4}} y_{B_{6}} y_{B_{9}}-y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{7}} y_{B_{12}} \in I_{M(G)}$
since $B_{4} \cup B_{6} \cup B_{9}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}=B_{1} \cup B_{7} \cup B_{12}$.

## $G_{3}(M(G))$



15,23,46

> We notice that $y_{B_{4}} y_{B_{6}} y_{B_{9}}-y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{7}} y_{B_{12}} \in I_{M(G)}$ since $B_{4} \cup B_{6} \cup B_{9}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}=B_{1} \cup B_{7} \cup B_{12}$.
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Lemma (Blasiak) Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a collection of matroids that is closed under deletions and adding parallel elements. Suppose that for each $n \geq 3$ and for every matroid $M$ in $\mathfrak{C}$ on a ground set of size $n r(M)$ the $n$-base graph of $M$ is connected. Then, for every matroid $M$ in $\mathfrak{C}, I_{M}$ is generated by quadratics polynomials.
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Lemma (Blasiak) Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a collection of matroids that is closed under deletions and adding parallel elements. Suppose that for each $n \geq 3$ and for every matroid $M$ in $\mathfrak{C}$ on a ground set of size $n r(M)$ the $n$-base graph of $M$ is connected. Then, for every matroid $M$ in $\mathfrak{C}, I_{M}$ is generated by quadratics polynomials.
Proof (idea) The following statement is proved by induction on $n$ : for every $M \in \mathfrak{C}$ and every binomial $b \in I_{M}$ of degree $n, b$ is in the ideal generated by the quadratics of $I_{M}$.
This will prove the result because $I_{M}$, as a toric ideal, is generated by binomials.
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Proof (continuation ...) $M \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $b$ is binomial of degree $n$ in $I_{M}$.
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Proof (continuation ...) $M \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $b$ is binomial of degree $n$ in $I_{M}$.
The binomial $b$ is necessarily of the form $b=\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{B_{i}}-\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{D_{i}}$ for some bases $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right\}$ of $M$ such that the $B_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ have the same multiset union.
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It is proved that $b$ is in the ideal generated by the degree $n-1$ binomials of $I_{M}$ (this is done by constructing a new matroid $M^{\prime}$ that depends on the binomial $b$ ).

## Blasiak's reduction

Proof (continuation ...) $M \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $b$ is binomial of degree $n$ in $I_{M}$.
The binomial $b$ is necessarily of the form $b=\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{B_{i}}-\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{D_{i}}$ for some bases $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right\}$ of $M$ such that the $B_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ have the same multiset union.
It is proved that $b$ is in the ideal generated by the degree $n-1$ binomials of $I_{M}$ (this is done by constructing a new matroid $M^{\prime}$ that depends on the binomial $b$ ).
By induction the degree $n-1$ binomials are in the ideal generated by the quadratics of $I_{M}$ so this will complete the proof.

## Blasiak's reduction
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$$
y_{16} y_{24} y_{35}-y_{13} y_{25} y_{46} \in I_{M(G)} .
$$

## Blasiak's reduction



By following the path we construct
$y_{16} y_{24} y_{35}-y_{16} y_{23} y_{45}+y_{16} y_{23} y_{45}-y_{13} y_{26} y_{45}+y_{13} y_{26} y_{55}-y_{13} y_{25} y_{46}=$ $y_{16} y_{24} y_{35}-y_{13} y_{25} y_{46} \in I_{M(G)}$.
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By following the path we construct
$y_{16} y_{24} y_{35}-y_{16} y_{23} y_{45}+y_{16} y_{23} y_{45}-y_{13} y_{26} y_{45}+y_{13} y_{26} y_{55}-y_{13} y_{25} y_{46}=$ $y_{16} y_{24} y_{35}-y_{13} y_{25} y_{46} \in I_{M(G)}$.
Or equivalently
$y_{16}\left(y_{24} y_{35}-y_{23} y_{45}\right)+y_{45}\left(y_{16} y_{23}-y_{13} y_{26}\right)+y_{13}\left(y_{26} y_{55}-y_{25} y_{46}\right)=$ $y_{16} y_{24} y_{35}-y_{13} y_{25} y_{46} \in I_{M(G)}$.
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## Strongly base orderable matroid

A matroid is strongly base order able if for any two bases $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ there is a bijection $\pi: B_{1} \longrightarrow B_{2}$ satisfying the multiple symmetric exchange property, that is : $\left(B_{1} \backslash A\right) \cup \pi(A)$ is a basis for every $A \subset B_{1}$.
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- $\pi$ restricted to the intersection $B_{1} \cap B_{2}$ is the identity.
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## Strongly base orderable matroid

A matroid is strongly base order able if for any two bases $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ there is a bijection $\pi: B_{1} \longrightarrow B_{2}$ satisfying the multiple symmetric exchange property, that is : $\left(B_{1} \backslash A\right) \cup \pi(A)$ is a basis for every $A \subset B_{1}$.

- $\pi$ restricted to the intersection $B_{1} \cap B_{2}$ is the identity.
- $\left(B_{2} \backslash \pi(A)\right) \cup A$ is a basis for every $A \subset B_{1}$ (by the multiple symmetric exchange property for $B_{1} \backslash A$ ).
- The class of strongly base orderable matroids is closed under taking minors.
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## Strongly base orderable matroid
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Fix $n \geq 2$. We shall prove by decreasing induction on the overlap function

$$
d\left(y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{n}}, y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{n}}\right):=\max _{\pi \in S_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|B_{i} \cap D_{\pi(i)}\right|
$$
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Proof (Cont...) Since $M$ is strongly base orderable matroid, there exist bijections $\pi_{B}: B_{1} \longrightarrow B_{2}$ and $\pi_{D}: D_{1} \longrightarrow D_{2}$ with the multiple symmetric exchange property.
Let $G$ be a graph on a vertex set $B_{1} \cup B_{2} \cup D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ with edges $\left\{b, \pi_{B}(b)\right\}$ for all $b \in B_{1} \backslash B_{2}$ and $\left\{d, \pi_{B}(d)\right\}$ for all $d \in D_{1} \backslash D_{2}$.
$G$ is bipartite since it is the sum of two matchings. Split the vertex set of $G$ into two independent (in the graph sense) sets $S$ and $T$.
We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}^{\prime}=\left(S \cap\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right), \quad B_{2}^{\prime}=\left(T \cap\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right) \\
& D_{1}^{\prime}=\left(S \cap\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right), \quad D_{2}^{\prime}=\left(T \cap\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Strongly base orderable matroid

Proof (Cont...) By the multiple symmetric exchange property of $\pi_{B}$ sets $B_{1}^{\prime}, B_{2}^{\prime}$ are bases obtained from the pair $B_{1}, B_{2}$ by a sequence of symmetric exchanges. Therefore the binomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{2}} y_{B_{3}} \cdots y_{B_{n}}-y_{B_{1}^{\prime}} y_{B_{2}^{\prime}} y_{B_{3}} \cdots y_{B_{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
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Analogously, the binomial
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\begin{equation*}
y_{D_{1}} y_{D_{2}} y_{D_{3}} \cdots y_{D_{n}}-y_{D_{1}^{\prime}} y_{D_{2}^{\prime}} y_{D_{3}} \cdots y_{D_{n}} \tag{2}
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$$

belongs to $J_{M}$.
Moreover since $S$ and $T$ are disjoint we have that

$$
d\left(y_{B_{1}^{\prime}} y_{B_{2}^{\prime}} y_{B_{3}} \cdots y_{B_{n}}, y_{D_{1}^{\prime}} y_{D_{2}^{\prime}} y_{D_{3}} \cdots y_{D_{n}}\right)>d\left(y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{2}} y_{B_{3}} \cdots y_{B_{n}}, y_{D_{1}} y_{D_{2}} y_{D_{3}} \cdots y_{D_{n}}\right)
$$

## Strongly base orderable matroid

Proof (Cont...) By the inductive assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{B_{1}^{\prime}} y_{B_{2}^{\prime}}^{\prime} y_{B_{3}} \cdots y_{B_{n}}-y_{D_{1}^{\prime}} y_{D_{2}^{\prime}} y_{D_{3}} \cdots y_{D_{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

also belongs to $J_{M}$.
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Proof (Cont...) By the inductive assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{B_{1}^{\prime}} y_{B_{2}^{\prime}}^{\prime} y_{B_{3}} \cdots y_{B_{n}}-y_{D_{1}^{\prime}} y_{D_{2}^{\prime}} y_{D_{3}} \cdots y_{D_{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
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also belongs to $J_{M}$.
By adding (1) and (3) and subtracting (2) we have that

$$
y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{2}} y_{B_{3}} \cdots y_{B_{n}}-y_{D_{1}} y_{D_{2}} y_{D_{3}} \cdots y_{D_{n}}
$$

belongs to $J_{M}$, as desired.
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Conjecture 1 For any matroid $M$, the toric ideal $I_{M}$ has a Gröbner basis consisting of quadratics binomials.
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Conjecture 2 For any matroid $M$, the toric ideal $I_{M}$ is generated by quadratics binomials.
Conjecture 3 For any matroid $M$, the quadratic binomials of $I_{M}$ are in the ideal generated by the binomials $y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{2}}-y_{D_{1}} y_{D_{2}}$ such that the pair of bases $D_{1}, D_{2}$ can be obtained from the pair $B_{1}, B_{2}$ by a symmetric exchange.
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Conjecture 2 For any matroid $M$, the toric ideal $I_{M}$ is generated by quadratics binomials.
Conjecture 3 For any matroid $M$, the quadratic binomials of $I_{M}$ are in the ideal generated by the binomials $y_{B_{1}} y_{B_{2}}-y_{D_{1}} y_{D_{2}}$ such that the pair of bases $D_{1}, D_{2}$ can be obtained from the pair $B_{1}, B_{2}$ by a symmetric exchange.
Remark: Conjectures 2 and 3 together imply White's conjecture.

