## Toric ideals and matroids II

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín<br>I3M, Université Montpellier 2

The 22nd National School on Algebra, Algebraic and Combinatorial Applications of Toric Ideals

Bucharest Romania, September 4, 2014

## Complete Intersection

The toric ideal $I_{M}$ is a complete intersection if and only if there exists a set of homogeneous binomials $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s} \in R$ such that $s=\operatorname{ht}\left(I_{M}\right)$ and $I_{M}=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right)$.

## Complete Intersection

The toric ideal $I_{M}$ is a complete intersection if and only if there exists a set of homogeneous binomials $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s} \in R$ such that $s=\operatorname{ht}\left(I_{M}\right)$ and $I_{M}=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right)$.
Equivalently, $I_{M}$ is a complete intersection if

$$
\mu\left(I_{M}\right)=\operatorname{ht}\left(I_{M}\right)=|\mathcal{B}|-(n-c+1)
$$

where $\mu\left(I_{M}\right)$ denotes the minimal number of generators of $I_{M}$ and $c$ the number of connected components of $M$.

## Complete Intersection

The number of connected components of a matroid $M$ is given by the number of equivalent classes induced by the relation $\mathcal{R}$ defined as follows: $a \mathcal{R} b$ if and only if there exist a circuit of $M$ containing both $a, b \in M$.
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The number of connected components of a matroid $M$ is given by the number of equivalent classes induced by the relation $\mathcal{R}$ defined as follows: $a \mathcal{R} b$ if and only if there exist a circuit of $M$ containing both $a, b \in M$.


We have $\mathcal{B}(M(G))=\{123,124,134,234\}$. There is one equivalent classe, and thus ht $\left(I_{M}\right)=4-(4-1+1)=0$.

## Complete Intersection

## Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{M}=\left(\left\{y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{s}}-y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{s}} \mid B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{s}=D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{s}\right\}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
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## Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{M}=\left(\left\{y_{B_{1}} \cdots y_{B_{s}}-y_{D_{1}} \cdots y_{D_{s}} \mid B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{s}=D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{s}\right\}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If $r=n$ then $\operatorname{ht}\left(I_{M}\right)=1-(n-n+1)=0$, and clearly by (1), we have $I_{M}=(0)$. So, in this case $I_{M}$ is complete intersection.
- If $r=n-1$ then $h t\left(I_{M}\right)=n-(n-1+1)=0$, and clearly by (1), we have $I_{M}=(0)$. So, in this case $I_{M}$ is also complete intersection.
Thus, we only consider the case $r \leq n-2$.
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## Complete Intersection : duality and minors

We denote by $M^{*}$ the dual matroid of $M$.
$\sigma$ is the isomorphism of $k$-algebras $\sigma: R \longrightarrow k\left[y_{E \backslash B} \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\right]$ induced by $y_{B} \mapsto y_{E \backslash B}$.
It is straightforward to check that $\sigma\left(I_{M}\right)=I_{M^{*}}$
Thus, $I_{M}$ is a complete intersection if and only if $I_{M^{*}}$ also is. Proposition Let $M^{\prime}$ be a minor of $M$. If $I_{M}$ is a complete intersection, then $I_{M^{\prime}}$ also is.
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## If $M$ has rank 2 then we associate to $M$ the graph $H_{M}$ with vertex set $E$ and edge set $\mathcal{B}$.

## Complete Intersection : rank 2 case

If $M$ has rank 2 then we associate to $M$ the graph $H_{M}$ with vertex set $E$ and edge set $\mathcal{B}$.
Example :
$\mathcal{B}\left(U_{2,4}\right)=\left\{B_{1}=\{1,2\}, B_{2}=\{1,3\}, B_{3}=\{1,4\}, B_{4}=\right.$ $\left.\{2,3\}, B_{5}=\{2,4\}, B_{6}=\{3,4\}\right\}$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3} & B_{4} & B_{5} & B_{6} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## Complete Intersection : rank 2 case

If $M$ has rank 2 then we associate to $M$ the graph $H_{M}$ with vertex set $E$ and edge set $\mathcal{B}$.

- It turns out that $I_{M}$ coincides with the toric ideal of the graph $H_{M}$.
Theorem (I. Bermejo, I. Garcia-Marco, E. Reyes) Whenever $I_{H(M)}$ is a complete intersection, then $H_{M}$ does not contain $K_{2,3}$ as subgraph.
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Therefore $I_{G}$ is not complete intersection.
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## Complete Intersection : rank 2 case

Proposition Let $M$ be a rank 2 matroid on a ground set of $n \geq 4$ elements without loops or coloops. Then, $I_{M}$ is a complete intersection if and only if $n=4$.
Proof (idea) $(\Rightarrow)$ Assume that $n \geq 5$ and let us prove that $I_{M}$ is not a complete intersection.
Since $M$ has no loops or coloops, we may assume that
$B_{1}=\{1,2\}, B_{2}=\{3,4\}, B_{3}=\{1,5\} \in \mathcal{B}$.
Since $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$, by the symmetric exchange axiom, we can also assume that $B_{4}=\{1,3\}, B_{5}=\{2,4\} \in \mathcal{B}$.
If $\{4,5\} \in \mathcal{B}$, then $H_{M}$ has a subgraph $K_{2,3}$ and $I_{M}$ is not a complete intersection.
If $\{4,5\} \notin \mathcal{B}$ also implies that $H_{M}$ has a subgraph $K_{2,3}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ By computer.

## Complete Intersection : general case

Theorem Let $M$ be a matroid without loops or coloops and with $n>r+1$. Then, $I_{M}$ is a complete intersection if and only if $n=4$ and $M$ is the matroid whose set of bases is :
$\boldsymbol{1} \mathcal{B}=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\{1,3\},\{2,4\}\}$,
$2 \mathcal{B}=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\{1,3\},\{2,4\},\{1,4\}\}$, or
$3 \mathcal{B}=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\{1,3\},\{2,4\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\}\}$, i.e., $M=U_{2,4}$.

## Detecting minors

We consider the following binary equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set of pairs of bases :
$\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\} \sim\left\{B_{3}, B_{4}\right\} \Longleftrightarrow B_{1} \cup B_{2}=B_{3} \cup B_{4}$ as multisets, and we denote by $\Delta_{\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}}$ the cardinality of the equivalence class of $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$.
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Therefore, $\mathcal{B}(M(G))=\left\{B_{1}=\{123\}, B_{2}=\{124\}, B_{3}=\{134\}, B_{4}=\{234\}\right\}$.

## Detecting minors

We consider the graph


Therefore, $\mathcal{B}(M(G))=\left\{B_{1}=\{123\}, B_{2}=\{124\}, B_{3}=\{134\}, B_{4}=\{234\}\right\}$. It can be checked that the equivalent class of $\left\{B_{i}, B_{j}\right\}$ is $\left\{B_{i}, B_{j}\right\}$, that is, $\Delta_{\left\{B_{i}, B_{j}\right\}}=1$ for any pair $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4$.
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& \text { 2 } \nu\left(I_{M}\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}^{r_{i}-2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
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Moreover, in both cases equality holds whenever $I_{M}$ is generated by quadratics.
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$\mu\left(I_{M}\right)=$ the minimal number of generators of $I_{M}$.
Theorem Let $R=\left\{\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{B_{2 s-1}, B_{2 s}\right\}\right\}$ be a set of representatives of $\sim$ and set $r_{i}:=\Delta_{\left\{B_{2 i-1}, B_{2 i}\right\}}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mu\left(I_{M}\right) \geq\left(b^{2}-b-2 s\right) / 2 \text {, where } b:=|\mathcal{B}| \text {, and } \\
& \text { 2 } \nu\left(I_{M}\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}^{r_{i}-2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, in both cases equality holds whenever $I_{M}$ is generated by quadratics.
Question Can we characterize those matroids $M$ with $\nu\left(I_{M}\right)=1$ ?

The basis graph of a matroid $M$ is the undirected graph $G_{M}$ with vertex set $\mathcal{B}$ and edges $\left\{B, B^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $\left|B \backslash B^{\prime}\right|=1$. The diameter of a graph is the maximum distance between two vertices of the graph.

The basis graph of a matroid $M$ is the undirected graph $G_{M}$ with vertex set $\mathcal{B}$ and edges $\left\{B, B^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $\left|B \backslash B^{\prime}\right|=1$. The diameter of a graph is the maximum distance between two vertices of the graph.

Basis graph $G_{U_{2,4}}$

$\{3,4\}$
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Theorem Let $M$ be a rank $r \geq 2$ matroid. Then, $\nu\left(I_{M}\right)=1$ if and only if $M$ is binary and the diameter of $G_{M}$ is at most 2 .
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Proof (idea) $(\Rightarrow)$ By the previous theorem, we have that
$\Delta_{\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}}=1$ or 2 for all $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$.
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Proof (idea) $(\Rightarrow)$ By the previous theorem, we have that $\Delta_{\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}}=1$ or 2 for all $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$.
By Lemma bounds and Theorem binary, this is equivalent to $M$ is binary and $\left|B_{1} \backslash B_{2}\right| \in\{1,2\}$ for all $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$. Clearly this implies that the diameter of $G_{M}$ is less or equal to 2 .
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Proof (idea) $(\Rightarrow)$ By the previous theorem, we have that
$\Delta_{\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}}=1$ or 2 for all $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$.
By Lemma bounds and Theorem binary, this is equivalent to $M$ is binary and $\left|B_{1} \backslash B_{2}\right| \in\{1,2\}$ for all $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$. Clearly this implies that the diameter of $G_{M}$ is less or equal to 2 .
$(\Leftarrow)$ More complicated.

## Example

## Matroid $M(G)$ associated to graph $G$.



## Example

Matroid $M(G)$ associated to graph $G$.

$\mathcal{B}(M(G))=\left\{B_{1}=\{124\}, B_{2}=\{125\}, B_{3}=\{134\}, B_{4}=\right.$
$\left.\{135\}, B_{5}=\{145\}, B_{6}=\{234\}, B_{7}=\{235\}, B_{8}=\{345\}\right\}$

## Example

The base graph $G_{M(G)}$


## Example

The base graph $G_{M(G)}$


Since diameter of $G_{M(G)}$ is at most two, and $M(G)$ is binary then $\nu\left(I_{M}\right)=1$.

