

Lecture 3: Deformations and separations

Jürgen Herzog
Universität Duisburg-Essen

August 17-24
Moieciu de Sus, România

Deformations

We fix a field K and let \mathcal{A} be the category of standard graded K -algebras. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote by \mathfrak{m}_A the graded maximal ideal of A .

Deformations

We fix a field K and let \mathcal{A} be the category of standard graded K -algebras. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote by \mathfrak{m}_A the graded maximal ideal of A .

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. A **deformation** of A with basis B is a flat homomorphism $B \rightarrow C$ of standard graded K -algebras with fiber $C/\mathfrak{m}_B C = A$.

Deformations

We fix a field K and let \mathcal{A} be the category of standard graded K -algebras. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote by \mathfrak{m}_A the graded maximal ideal of A .

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. A **deformation** of A with basis B is a flat homomorphism $B \rightarrow C$ of standard graded K -algebras with fiber $C/\mathfrak{m}_B C = A$.

Thus we obtain a commutative diagram of standard graded K -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Let $I \subset B$ be a graded ideal. Then $B \rightarrow C$ induces the flat homomorphism $B/I \rightarrow C/IC$, and hence induces the deformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C/IC & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B/I & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Let $I \subset B$ be a graded ideal. Then $B \rightarrow C$ induces the flat homomorphism $B/I \rightarrow C/IC$, and hence induces the deformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C/IC & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B/I & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

We denote by $K[\epsilon]$ the K -algebra with $\epsilon \neq 0$ but $\epsilon^2 = 0$.

Let $I \subset B$ be a graded ideal. Then $B \rightarrow C$ induces the flat homomorphism $B/I \rightarrow C/IC$, and hence induces the deformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C/IC & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B/I & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

We denote by $K[\epsilon]$ the K -algebra with $\epsilon \neq 0$ but $\epsilon^2 = 0$.

Any surjective K -algebra homomorphism $B \rightarrow K[\epsilon]$ induces a deformation of A with basis $K[\epsilon]$.

Infinitesimal deformations

A deformation of A with basis $K[\epsilon]$ is called an **infinitesimal deformation**.

Infinitesimal deformations

A deformation of A with basis $K[\epsilon]$ is called an **infinitesimal deformation**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Infinitesimal deformations

A deformation of A with basis $K[\epsilon]$ is called an **infinitesimal deformation**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Lemma. $K[\epsilon] \rightarrow C$ is flat if and only if $0 :_C \epsilon = \epsilon C$.

Infinitesimal deformations

A deformation of A with basis $K[\epsilon]$ is called an **infinitesimal deformation**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Lemma. $K[\epsilon] \rightarrow C$ is flat if and only if $0 :_C \epsilon = \epsilon C$.

Proof. C is a flat $K[\epsilon]$ -module, if and only if

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{K[\epsilon]}(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon)) = 0.$$

Infinitesimal deformations

A deformation of A with basis $K[\epsilon]$ is called an **infinitesimal deformation**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Lemma. $K[\epsilon] \rightarrow C$ is flat if and only if $0 :_C \epsilon = \epsilon C$.

Proof. C is a flat $K[\epsilon]$ -module, if and only if

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{K[\epsilon]}(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon)) = 0.$$

We have the exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\epsilon} K[\epsilon] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} K[\epsilon] \longrightarrow K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with C we obtain the complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C \longrightarrow 0,$$

whose i th homology is $\text{Tor}_i(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon))$.

Tensoring with C we obtain the complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C \longrightarrow 0,$$

whose i th homology is $\text{Tor}_i(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon))$.

Thus we see that $\text{Tor}_1(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon)) = (0 :_C \epsilon)/\epsilon C$. The assertion follows. \square .

Tensoring with C we obtain the complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C \longrightarrow 0,$$

whose i th homology is $\mathrm{Tor}_i(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon))$.

Thus we see that $\mathrm{Tor}_1(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon)) = (0 :_C \epsilon)/\epsilon C$. The assertion follows. \square .

Whenever there is a deformation $B \rightarrow C$ of A with $B \neq k$, then there is also an infinitesimal deformation, induced by a surjective K -algebra homomorphism.

Tensoring with C we obtain the complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C \longrightarrow 0,$$

whose i th homology is $\text{Tor}_i(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon))$.

Thus we see that $\text{Tor}_1(C, K[\epsilon]/(\epsilon)) = (0 :_C \epsilon)/\epsilon C$. The assertion follows. \square .

Whenever there is a deformation $B \rightarrow C$ of A with $B \neq k$, then there is also an infinitesimal deformation, induced by a surjective K -algebra homomorphism.

Thus, if there is no infinitesimal deformation, then there cannot be any other deformation.

An infinitesimal deformation always exists. For example

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[\epsilon] = A \otimes_K K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

An infinitesimal deformation always exists. For example

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[\epsilon] = A \otimes_K K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

However this is a trivial deformation.

An infinitesimal deformation always exists. For example

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[\epsilon] = A \otimes_K K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K[\epsilon] & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

However this is a trivial deformation.

More generally we say that C is a **trivial deformation** of A with basis B , if $C \simeq A \otimes_K B$ as a B -algebra, and this isomorphism induces the identity on A modulo \mathfrak{m}_B .

The algebra A is called **rigid**, if A admits no non-trivial infinitesimal deformation.

The algebra A is called **rigid**, if A admits no non-trivial infinitesimal deformation.

Can an infinitesimal deformation of A be lifted to a deformation with basis B ?

The algebra A is called **rigid**, if A admits no non-trivial infinitesimal deformation.

Can an infinitesimal deformation of A be lifted to a deformation with basis B ? In general there are obstructions to do this.

The algebra A is called **rigid**, if A admits no non-trivial infinitesimal deformation.

Can an infinitesimal deformation of A be lifted to a deformation with basis B ? In general there are obstructions to do this.

An infinitesimal deformation of A which is induced by a deformation of A with basis $K[t]$ (the polynomial ring), is called **unobstructed**.

The cotangent functor T^1

How can we find and classify all non-trivial infinitesimal deformations of S/I ?

The cotangent functor T^1

How can we find and classify all non-trivial infinitesimal deformations of S/I ?

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring and let $A = S/I$ where $I \subset S$ is a graded ideal.

The cotangent functor T^1

How can we find and classify all non-trivial infinitesimal deformations of S/I ?

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring and let $A = S/I$ where $I \subset S$ is a graded ideal.

Let $J \subset S[\epsilon]$ be a graded ideal, and let $C = S[\epsilon]/J$ be a potential infinitesimal deformation of S/I .

The cotangent functor T^1

How can we find and classify all non-trivial infinitesimal deformations of S/I ?

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring and let $A = S/I$ where $I \subset S$ is a graded ideal.

Let $J \subset S[\epsilon]$ be a graded ideal, and let $C = S[\epsilon]/J$ be a potential infinitesimal deformation of S/I .

Proposition: Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Then $J = (f_1 + g_1\epsilon, \dots, f_m + g_m\epsilon)$ and $K[\epsilon] \rightarrow S[\epsilon]/J$ is flat if and only if $\varphi : I \rightarrow S/I$ with $f_i \mapsto g_i + I$ is a well-defined S -module homomorphism.

Proof. Assume that $K[\epsilon] \rightarrow C$ is flat. Let $\sum_i h_i f_i = 0$. We want to show that $\sum_i h_i g_i \in I$.

Proof. Assume that $K[\epsilon] \rightarrow C$ is flat. Let $\sum_i h_i f_i = 0$. We want to show that $\sum_i h_i g_i \in I$. To see this, let $g = \sum_i h_i (f_i + \epsilon g_i)$. Then $g = \epsilon(\sum_i h_i g_i)$ and $g \in J$. Therefore, $\sum_i h_i g_i \in J : \epsilon$. Since C is a flat $K[\epsilon]$ -module, there exists $p \in S$ such that $\sum_i h_i g_i - \epsilon p \in J$. Modulo ϵ it follows that $\sum_i h_i g_i \in I$. The converse direction is proved similarly. \square

Proof. Assume that $K[\epsilon] \rightarrow C$ is flat. Let $\sum_i h_i f_i = 0$. We want to show that $\sum_i h_i g_i \in I$. To see this, let $g = \sum_i h_i (f_i + \epsilon g_i)$. Then $g = \epsilon (\sum_i h_i g_i)$ and $g \in J$. Therefore, $\sum_i h_i g_i \in J : \epsilon$. Since C is a flat $K[\epsilon]$ -module, there exists $p \in S$ such that $\sum_i h_i g_i - \epsilon p \in J$. Modulo ϵ it follows that $\sum_i h_i g_i \in I$. The converse direction is proved similarly. \square

The proposition says that the infinitesimal deformations of S/I are in bijection to the elements of $I^* = \text{Hom}_S(I, S/I)$.

Proof. Assume that $K[\epsilon] \rightarrow C$ is flat. Let $\sum_i h_i f_i = 0$. We want to show that $\sum_i h_i g_i \in I$. To see this, let $g = \sum_i h_i (f_i + \epsilon g_i)$. Then $g = \epsilon(\sum_i h_i g_i)$ and $g \in J$. Therefore, $\sum_i h_i g_i \in J : \epsilon$. Since C is a flat $K[\epsilon]$ -module, there exists $p \in S$ such that $\sum_i h_i g_i - \epsilon p \in J$. Modulo ϵ it follows that $\sum_i h_i g_i \in I$. The converse direction is proved similarly. \square

The proposition says that the infinitesimal deformations of S/I are in bijection to the elements of $I^* = \text{Hom}_S(I, S/I)$.

Let $C = S[\epsilon]/J$ be an infinitesimal deformation of S/I . Then this deformation is trivial if and only if there is a $K[\epsilon]$ -automorphism $\varphi : S[\epsilon] \rightarrow S[\epsilon]$ such that $\varphi(J) = IS[\epsilon]$.

Let $\text{Der}_K(S)$ be the S -module of K -derivations $\partial : S \rightarrow S$ of S .

Let $\text{Der}_K(S)$ be the S -module of K -derivations $\partial : S \rightarrow S$ of S .

Proposition. The infinitesimal deformation $S[\epsilon]/J$ of S/I is trivial if and only if there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ such that

$$J = (f_1 + \partial f_1 \epsilon, \dots, f_m + \partial f_m \epsilon).$$

Let $\text{Der}_K(S)$ be the S -module of K -derivations $\partial : S \rightarrow S$ of S .

Proposition. The infinitesimal deformation $S[\epsilon]/J$ of S/I is trivial if and only if there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ such that

$$J = (f_1 + \partial f_1 \epsilon, \dots, f_m + \partial f_m \epsilon).$$

Proof. We show: suppose there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ with $J = (f_1 + \partial f_1 \epsilon, \dots, f_m + \partial f_m \epsilon)$, then the deformation is trivial.

Let $\text{Der}_K(S)$ be the S -module of K -derivations $\partial : S \rightarrow S$ of S .

Proposition. The infinitesimal deformation $S[\epsilon]/J$ of S/I is trivial if and only if there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ such that

$$J = (f_1 + \partial f_1 \epsilon, \dots, f_m + \partial f_m \epsilon).$$

Proof. We show: suppose there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ with $J = (f_1 + \partial f_1 \epsilon, \dots, f_m + \partial f_m \epsilon)$, then the deformation is trivial.

We define the $K[\epsilon]$ -algebra automorphism $\varphi : S[\epsilon] \rightarrow S[\epsilon]$ with $x_i \mapsto x_i + \partial x_i \epsilon$.

Let $\text{Der}_K(S)$ be the S -module of K -derivations $\partial : S \rightarrow S$ of S .

Proposition. The infinitesimal deformation $S[\epsilon]/J$ of S/I is trivial if and only if there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ such that

$$J = (f_1 + \partial f_1 \epsilon, \dots, f_m + \partial f_m \epsilon).$$

Proof. We show: suppose there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ with $J = (f_1 + \partial f_1 \epsilon, \dots, f_m + \partial f_m \epsilon)$, then the deformation is trivial.

We define the $K[\epsilon]$ -algebra automorphism $\varphi : S[\epsilon] \rightarrow S[\epsilon]$ with $x_i \mapsto x_i + \partial x_i \epsilon$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i}\right) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i + \partial x_i \epsilon)^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i^{a_i} + a_i x_i^{a_i-1} \partial x_i \epsilon) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^{a_i-1} \partial x_i \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{a_j} \epsilon \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i} + \partial\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i}\right) \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ and ∂ are K -linear, it follows that $\varphi(f_i) = f_i + \partial f_i \epsilon$ for all i . Therefore, $\varphi^{-1}(J) = IS[\epsilon]$. \square

Since φ and ∂ are K -linear, it follows that $\varphi(f_i) = f_i + \partial f_i \epsilon$ for all i . Therefore, $\varphi^{-1}(J) = IS[\epsilon]$. \square

As a consequence of our considerations so far we see the following: if we consider the natural map $\delta^* : \text{Der}_K(S) \rightarrow I^*$ which assigns to $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ the element $\delta^*(\partial)$ with

$$\delta^*(\partial)(f_i) = \partial f_i + I,$$

then the non-zero elements of $\text{Coker } \delta^*$ are in bijection to the isomorphism classes of non-trivial infinitesimal deformations of S/I .

Since φ and ∂ are K -linear, it follows that $\varphi(f_i) = f_i + \partial f_i \epsilon$ for all i . Therefore, $\varphi^{-1}(J) = IS[\epsilon]$. \square

As a consequence of our considerations so far we see the following: if we consider the natural map $\delta^* : \text{Der}_K(S) \rightarrow I^*$ which assigns to $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ the element $\delta^*(\partial)$ with

$$\delta^*(\partial)(f_i) = \partial f_i + I,$$

then the non-zero elements of $\text{Coker } \delta^*$ are in bijection to the isomorphism classes of non-trivial infinitesimal deformations of S/I .

This cokernel is denoted by $T^1(S/I)$ and is called the **first cotangent module** of S/I .

For any B -algebra homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$, there exist functors $T^i(A/B, M)$ and $T_i(A/B, M)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$ the so-called tangent and cotangent functors. They are functor in all three variables.

For any B -algebra homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$, there exist functors $T^i(A/B, M)$ and $T_i(A/B, M)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$ the so-called tangent and cotangent functors. They are functor in all three variables.

Lichtenbaum and Schlessinger 1967 first introduced the functors T^i for $i = 0, 1, 2$ in the paper "On the cotangent complex of a morphism" TransAMS.

For any B -algebra homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$, there exist functors $T^i(A/B, M)$ and $T_i(A/B, M)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$ the so-called tangent and cotangent functors. They are functor in all three variables.

Lichtenbaum and Schlessinger 1967 first introduced the functors T^i for $i = 0, 1, 2$ in the paper "On the cotangent complex of a morphism" TransAMS.

Quillen (Proc. Symp. Pure Math, 1970) and independently André (Homologie des algebres commutatives) defined the higher cotangent functors and developed their theory.

For any B -algebra homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$, there exist functors $T^i(A/B, M)$ and $T_i(A/B, M)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$ the so-called tangent and cotangent functors. They are functor in all three variables.

Lichtenbaum and Schlessinger 1967 first introduced the functors T^i for $i = 0, 1, 2$ in the paper "On the cotangent complex of a morphism" TransAMS.

Quillen (Proc. Symp. Pure Math, 1970) and independently André (Homologie des algebres commutatives) defined the higher cotangent functors and developed their theory.

In characteristic 0, a different (and simpler approach) is given by Palamodov (Deformations of complex spaces) by using DGA algebras.

$T^1(S/I)$ is a finitely generated graded (multigraded) S -module, if S/I is graded (multigraded).

$T^1(S/I)$ is a finitely generated graded (multigraded) S -module, if S/I is graded (multigraded).

S/I is **rigid**, if S/I admits no infinitesimal deformations, and this is the case if and only if $T^1(S/I) = 0$.

$T^1(S/I)$ is a finitely generated graded (multigraded) S -module, if S/I is graded (multigraded).

S/I is **rigid**, if S/I admits no infinitesimal deformations, and this is the case if and only if $T^1(S/I) = 0$.

Example: Let $I = (xy, xz, yz) \subset S = K[x, y, z]$, and $L = (xw, xz, yz) \subset T = K[x, y, z, w]$.

$T^1(S/I)$ is a finitely generated graded (multigraded) S -module, if S/I is graded (multigraded).

S/I is **rigid**, if S/I admits no infinitesimal deformations, and this is the case if and only if $T^1(S/I) = 0$.

Example: Let $I = (xy, xz, yz) \subset S = K[x, y, z]$, and $L = (xw, xz, yz) \subset T = K[x, y, z, w]$.

Then $t := w - y$ is a non-zerodivisor of T/L . Thus $K[t] \rightarrow T/L$ is flat, and hence $T/L \otimes K[\epsilon]$ with $K[\epsilon] = K[t]/(t^2)$ is an infinitesimal deformation of S/I .

$T^1(S/I)$ is a finitely generated graded (multigraded) S -module, if S/I is graded (multigraded).

S/I is **rigid**, if S/I admits no infinitesimal deformations, and this is the case if and only if $T^1(S/I) = 0$.

Example: Let $I = (xy, xz, yz) \subset S = K[x, y, z]$, and $L = (xw, xz, yz) \subset T = K[x, y, z, w]$.

Then $t := w - y$ is a non-zerodivisor of T/L . Thus $K[t] \rightarrow T/L$ is flat, and hence $T/L \otimes K[\epsilon]$ with $K[\epsilon] = K[t]/(t^2)$ is an infinitesimal deformation of S/I .

We have $T = K[x, y, z, t]$ and $L = (xy + xt, xz, yz)$, and hence $T/L \otimes K[\epsilon] \simeq S[\epsilon]/(xy + x\epsilon, xz, yz)$.

Is $S[\epsilon]/(xy + x\epsilon, xz, yz)$ a non-trivial deformation of S/I ?

Is $S[\epsilon]/(xy + x\epsilon, xz, yz)$ a non-trivial deformation of S/I ?

Suppose it is trivial. Then there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ with $\partial(xy) = x$ and $\partial(xz) = \partial(yz) = 0$.

Is $S[\epsilon]/(xy + x\epsilon, xz, yz)$ a non-trivial deformation of S/I ?

Suppose it is trivial. Then there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ with $\partial(xy) = x$ and $\partial(xz) = \partial(yz) = 0$.

The module $\text{Der}_K(S)$ is a free S -module with basis $\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z$.

Is $S[\epsilon]/(xy + x\epsilon, xz, yz)$ a non-trivial deformation of S/I ?

Suppose it is trivial. Then there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ with $\partial(xy) = x$ and $\partial(xz) = \partial(yz) = 0$.

The module $\text{Der}_K(S)$ is a free S -module with basis $\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z$.

Let $\partial = f\partial_x + g\partial_y + h\partial_z$. Then $x = \partial(xy) = fy + gx$, and hence $f = 0$ and $g = 1$. Furthermore, $0 = \partial(yz) = fz + gx = gx$, and hence $g = 0$, a contradiction.

Is $S[\epsilon]/(xy + x\epsilon, xz, yz)$ a non-trivial deformation of S/I ?

Suppose it is trivial. Then there exists $\partial \in \text{Der}_K(S)$ with $\partial(xy) = x$ and $\partial(xz) = \partial(yz) = 0$.

The module $\text{Der}_K(S)$ is a free S -module with basis $\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z$.

Let $\partial = f\partial_x + g\partial_y + h\partial_z$. Then $x = \partial(xy) = fy + gx$, and hence $f = 0$ and $g = 1$. Furthermore, $0 = \partial(yz) = fz + gx = gx$, and hence $g = 0$, a contradiction.

The calculations show that $T^1(S/I)_{-1} \neq 0$.

Let $R = S/I$, $I \subset S$ a graded ideal, M a graded R -module.

Let $R = S/I$, $I \subset S$ a graded ideal, M a graded R -module.

A K -derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow M$ is a K -linear map such that

$$\delta(rs) = r\delta(s) + s\delta(r) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in R.$$

Let $R = S/I$, $I \subset S$ a graded ideal, M a graded R -module.

A K -derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow M$ is a K -linear map such that

$$\delta(rs) = r\delta(s) + s\delta(r) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in R.$$

The **module of differentials** $\Omega_{R/K}$ is defined by the universal property that there exists a K -derivation $d : R \rightarrow \Omega_{R/K}$ such that for any derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow M$ there exists an R -module homomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_{R/K} \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\delta = \varphi \circ d.$$

Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Then

Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Then

$$\Omega_{R/K} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R dx_i / U,$$

where U is generated by the elements $\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\partial_i f_j} dx_i$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Then

$$\Omega_{R/K} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R dx_i / U,$$

where U is generated by the elements $\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\partial_i f_j} dx_i$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Thus the relation matrix of $\Omega_{R/K}$ is the Jacobian matrix.

There is the fundamental exact sequence of R -modules

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i \rightarrow \Omega_{R/K} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\delta : I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i$ is the R -linear map

$$f + I^2 \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\partial_i f} dx_i.$$

There is the fundamental exact sequence of R -modules

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i \rightarrow \Omega_{R/K} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\delta : I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i$ is the R -linear map

$$f + I^2 \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\partial_i f} dx_i.$$

For an R -module M we set $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$.

There is the fundamental exact sequence of R -modules

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i \rightarrow \Omega_{R/K} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\delta : I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i$ is the R -linear map

$$f + I^2 \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\partial_i f} dx_i.$$

For an R -module M we set $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$.

By dualizing, the fundamental exact sequence yields the exact sequence

$$\delta^* : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R\partial_i \rightarrow (I/I^2)^* \rightarrow T^1(R) \rightarrow 0.$$

In general, the map $\delta : I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i$ is not injective.

In general, the map $\delta : I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i$ is not injective.

Let $V = \text{Ker } \delta$. If R is reduced and K is a perfect field, then $\text{Supp } V \cap \text{Ass}(R) = \emptyset$, and hence $V^* = \text{Hom}_R(V, R) = 0$.

In general, the map $\delta : I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i$ is not injective.

Let $V = \text{Ker } \delta$. If R is reduced and K is a perfect field, then $\text{Supp } V \cap \text{Ass}(R) = \emptyset$, and hence $V^* = \text{Hom}_R(V, R) = 0$.

Therefore, by dualizing the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain that $U^* = (I/I^2)^*$.

In general, the map $\delta : I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rdx_i$ is not injective.

Let $V = \text{Ker } \delta$. If R is reduced and K is a perfect field, then $\text{Supp } V \cap \text{Ass}(R) = \emptyset$, and hence $V^* = \text{Hom}_R(V, R) = 0$.

Therefore, by dualizing the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain that $U^* = (I/I^2)^*$. Now the fundamental exact sequence yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{R/K}, R) &= \text{Coker}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R\partial_i \rightarrow U^*\right) \\ &= \text{Coker}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R\partial_i \rightarrow (I/I^2)^*\right) = T^1(R). \end{aligned}$$

Separation

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal, and let y be an indeterminate over S . Fløystad calls a monomial ideal $J \subseteq S[y]$ an *i -separation* of I , if the following conditions hold:

Separation

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal, and let y be an indeterminate over S . Fløystad calls a monomial ideal $J \subseteq S[y]$ an *i-separation* of I , if the following conditions hold:

- (i) the ideal I is the image of J under the K -algebra homomorphism $S[y] \rightarrow S$ with $y \mapsto x_i$ and $x_j \mapsto x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$;

Separation

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal, and let y be an indeterminate over S . Fløystad calls a monomial ideal $J \subseteq S[y]$ an *i -separation* of I , if the following conditions hold:

- (i) the ideal I is the image of J under the K -algebra homomorphism $S[y] \rightarrow S$ with $y \mapsto x_i$ and $x_j \mapsto x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- (ii) x_i and y divide some minimal generators of J ;

Separation

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal, and let y be an indeterminate over S . Fløystad calls a monomial ideal $J \subseteq S[y]$ an *i -separation* of I , if the following conditions hold:

- (i) the ideal I is the image of J under the K -algebra homomorphism $S[y] \rightarrow S$ with $y \mapsto x_i$ and $x_j \mapsto x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- (ii) x_i and y divide some minimal generators of J ;
- (iii) $y - x_i$ is a non-zero divisor of $S[y]/J$.

Separation

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal, and let y be an indeterminate over S . Fløystad calls a monomial ideal $J \subseteq S[y]$ an i -separation of I , if the following conditions hold:

- (i) the ideal I is the image of J under the K -algebra homomorphism $S[y] \rightarrow S$ with $y \mapsto x_i$ and $x_j \mapsto x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- (ii) x_i and y divide some minimal generators of J ;
- (iii) $y - x_i$ is a non-zero divisor of $S[y]/J$.

The ideal I is called **separable** if it admits an i -separation, otherwise it is called inseparable.

Separation

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal, and let y be an indeterminate over S . Fløystad calls a monomial ideal $J \subseteq S[y]$ an i -separation of I , if the following conditions hold:

- (i) the ideal I is the image of J under the K -algebra homomorphism $S[y] \rightarrow S$ with $y \mapsto x_i$ and $x_j \mapsto x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- (ii) x_i and y divide some minimal generators of J ;
- (iii) $y - x_i$ is a non-zero divisor of $S[y]/J$.

The ideal I is called **separable** if it admits an i -separation, otherwise it is called inseparable.

For example $I = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3)$ admits the 2-separation $J = (x_1y, x_1x_3, x_2x_3)$.

Separation

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal, and let y be an indeterminate over S . Fløystad calls a monomial ideal $J \subseteq S[y]$ an i -separation of I , if the following conditions hold:

- (i) the ideal I is the image of J under the K -algebra homomorphism $S[y] \rightarrow S$ with $y \mapsto x_i$ and $x_j \mapsto x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$;
- (ii) x_i and y divide some minimal generators of J ;
- (iii) $y - x_i$ is a non-zero divisor of $S[y]/J$.

The ideal I is called **separable** if it admits an i -separation, otherwise it is called inseparable.

For example $I = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3)$ admits the 2-separation $J = (x_1y, x_1x_3, x_2x_3)$.

Separations are unobstructed deformations of monomial ideals which preserve the monomial structure.

Proposition. Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal, and let J be an i -separation of I . Then $T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i} \neq 0$.

Proposition. Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal, and let J be an i -separation of I . Then $T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i} \neq 0$.

Proof: By condition (iii), S/I is obtained from $S[y]/J$ by reduction modulo a linear form which is a regular element on $S[y]/J$. This implies that I and J are minimally generated by the same number of generators.

Proposition. Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal, and let J be an i -separation of I . Then $T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i} \neq 0$.

Proof: By condition (iii), S/I is obtained from $S[y]/J$ by reduction modulo a linear form which is a regular element on $S[y]/J$. This implies that I and J are minimally generated by the same number of generators.

Let J be minimally generated by v_1, \dots, v_m . We may assume that y divides v_1, \dots, v_k but does not divide the other generators of J . We may furthermore assume that for all i , v_i is mapped to u_i under the K -algebra homomorphism (i).

Proposition. Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal, and let J be an i -separation of I . Then $T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i} \neq 0$.

Proof: By condition (iii), S/I is obtained from $S[y]/J$ by reduction modulo a linear form which is a regular element on $S[y]/J$. This implies that I and J are minimally generated by the same number of generators.

Let J be minimally generated by v_1, \dots, v_m . We may assume that y divides v_1, \dots, v_k but does not divide the other generators of J . We may furthermore assume that for all i , v_i is mapped to u_i under the K -algebra homomorphism (i).

Then we may write

$$J = (u_1 + (u_1/x_i)(y - x_i), \dots, u_k + (u_k/x_i)(y - x_i), u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m).$$

From this presentation and by (iii) it follows that $S[y]/J$ is an unobstructed deformation of S/I induced by the element $[\varphi] \in T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i}$, where $\varphi \in I^*$ is the S -module homomorphism with $\varphi(u_j) = u_j/x_i + I$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $\varphi(u_j) = 0$, otherwise.

Condition (ii) makes sure that $S[y]/J$ is a non-trivial deformation of S/I .

Condition (ii) makes sure that $S[y]/J$ is a non-trivial deformation of S/I .

Corollary. The monomial ideal I is inseparable if $T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i} = 0$ for all i .

Condition (ii) makes sure that $S[y]/J$ is a non-trivial deformation of S/I .

Corollary. The monomial ideal I is inseparable if $T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i} = 0$ for all i .

If J is an ideal which is obtained from I by a finite number of separation steps, then we say that J **specializes** to I . If moreover, J is inseparable, then J is called an **inseparable model** of I .

Condition (ii) makes sure that $S[y]/J$ is a non-trivial deformation of S/I .

Corollary. The monomial ideal I is inseparable if $T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i} = 0$ for all i .

If J is an ideal which is obtained from I by a finite number of separation steps, then we say that J **specializes** to I . If moreover, J is inseparable, then J is called an **inseparable model** of I .

Each monomial ideal admits an inseparable model, but in general not only one.

Condition (ii) makes sure that $S[y]/J$ is a non-trivial deformation of S/I .

Corollary. The monomial ideal I is inseparable if $T^1(S/I)_{-\epsilon_i} = 0$ for all i .

If J is an ideal which is obtained from I by a finite number of separation steps, then we say that J **specializes** to I . If moreover, J is inseparable, then J is called an **inseparable model** of I .

Each monomial ideal admits an inseparable model, but in general not only one.

For example, $J = (x_1y, x_1x_3, x_2x_3)$ is an inseparable model of $I = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3)$.

Problem 1. Let $I = (x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_3x_4) \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Show that S/I is not rigid.

Problem 2. Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal, and assume that K is a perfect field and that $R = S/I$ is a reduced CM ring. Then R is rigid if and only if $\Omega_{R/K} \otimes \omega_R$ is CM.

Problem 3. Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal, and assume that K is a perfect field and that $R = S/I$ is a 1-dimensional reduced Gorenstein ring. Then R is rigid if and only if $\Omega_{R/K}$ is torsionfree.

Problem 4. Find an inseparable monomial ideal which is not rigid.